

# THE AF STRUCTURE OF NON COMMUTATIVE TOROIDAL $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ ORBIFOLDS

S. WALTERS

The University of Northern British Columbia

*Dedicated to my Mother on her seventieth*

July 25, 2002

ABSTRACT. For any irrational  $\theta$  and rational number  $p/q$  such that  $q|q\theta - p| < 1$ , a projection  $e$  of trace  $q|q\theta - p|$  is constructed in the the irrational rotation algebra  $A_\theta$  that is invariant under the Fourier transform. (The latter is the order four automorphism  $U \mapsto V \mapsto U^{-1}$ , where  $U, V$  are the canonical unitaries generating  $A_\theta$ .) Further, the projection  $e$  is approximately central, the cut down algebra  $eA_\theta e$  contains a Fourier invariant  $q \times q$  matrix algebra whose unit is  $e$ , and the cut downs  $eUe, eVe$  are approximately inside the matrix algebra. (In particular, there are Fourier invariant projections of trace  $k|q\theta - p|$  for  $k = 1, \dots, q$ .) It is also shown that for all  $\theta$  the crossed product  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. ( $\mathbb{Z}_4 := \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .) As a consequence, using the Classification Theorem of G. Elliott and G. Gong for AH-algebras, a theorem of M. Rieffel, and by recent results of H. Lin, we show that  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is an AF-algebra for all irrational  $\theta$  in a dense  $G_\delta$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L80, 46L40, 46L35.

*Key words and phrases.* C\*-algebras, irrational rotation algebras, automorphisms, inductive limits, K-groups, AF-algebras, theta functions.

Research partly supported by NSERC grant OGP0169928

(T<sub>E</sub>XFile: orbifoldLANL.tex)

## §1. INTRODUCTION.

For each irrational number  $\theta$ , the irrational rotation C\*-algebra  $A_\theta$  is generated by unitaries  $U, V$  enjoying the Heisenberg commutation relation  $VU = \lambda UV$ , where  $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ . The Fourier transform on  $A_\theta$  is the order four automorphism  $\sigma$  (or its inverse) of  $A_\theta$  given by  $\sigma(U) = V$  and  $\sigma(V) = U^{-1}$ . It is a non commutative analogue of the Fourier transform of classical analysis. The resulting toroidal  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  orbifold  $A_\theta^\sigma$  is the mathematical physicist's name for the fixed point subalgebra of  $A_\theta$  under  $\sigma$ . Such algebras have found their way in recent work of Konechny and Schwarz [8]. One of the problems of George Elliott is to determine whether this fixed point subalgebra, or the C\*-crossed product  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ , is an AF-algebra. In this paper we solve this problem in the affirmative for a dense  $G_\delta$  set of irrationals  $\theta$ . In order to do this we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\theta$  be any irrational number in  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $\frac{p}{q}$  be a rational number in reduced form (with  $p \geq 0, q \geq 1$ ) such that  $|\theta - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q^2}$ . (For example, this is satisfied by any convergent of  $\theta$ .) Then there is a projection  $e$  in  $A_\theta$  of trace  $q|q\theta - p|$  enjoying the following properties:*

- (1)  *$e$  is invariant under the Fourier transform,*
- (2)  *$e$  is approximately central,*
- (3)  *$e$  is the unit of a Fourier invariant  $q \times q$  matrix algebra  $\mathcal{M}_q$  contained in  $eA_\theta e$ ,*
- (4) *the cut downs  $eUe, eVe$  are approximately in  $\mathcal{M}_q$ .*

Previous constructions of Fourier invariant projections placed some specialized assumptions on  $\frac{p}{q}$  and on the bound  $\frac{1}{q^2}$ . In [2], Proposition 2.1, Boca proved that if  $p$  satisfies a quadratic residue condition modulo  $q$  and  $|\theta - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{0.948}{q^2}$ , then there is a Fourier invariant projection of trace  $|q\theta - p|$ . Also, in [17], Corollary 1.2, the author assumed  $q$  is a sum of two squares in constructing a projection orthogonal to its Fourier transform, and in [18] a result similar to Theorem 1.1 is obtained, for a dense  $G_\delta$  set of irrationals  $\theta$ , in which one has a Fourier invariant direct sum of two matrix algebras interchanged by the Fourier transform, but in which it is assumed that  $q|q\theta - p|$  goes to zero as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . The projection constructed in this paper is a generalized Rieffel projection, i.e. it has the C\*-valued inner product form  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_D$  for a suitable Schwartz function  $\xi$ , a technique that appears to have been introduced by Elliott and Q. Lin [6].

Once Theorem 1.1 is established, we obtain the following (see Section 9).

**Theorem 1.2.** *For a dense  $G_\delta$  set of irrationals  $\theta$  the C\*-algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is an AF-algebra.*

This result stands on the shoulders of three major theorems: the Elliott-Gong Classification Theorem [7] for real rank zero, stable rank one, AH-algebras; on Rieffel's Theorem 2.15 [13] on strong Morita equivalence of twisted group C\*-algebras associated to lattices in locally compact Abelian groups; and on H. Lin's recent theorem that every unital separable simple nuclear tracially AF C\*-algebra that satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT) is isomorphic to an AH-algebra with slow dimension growth [9] (as well as on Lin's paper [10]). A reading of the first few paragraphs of Section 9 below conveys more clearly how these results are applied in the present paper to obtain Theorem 1.2. Also shown in Section 9 is that the C\*-algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  satisfies UCT for all  $\theta$  (Theorem 9.4). We note that in fact the very same proof shows that the crossed products  $A_\theta \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $A_\theta \rtimes_{\alpha^2} \mathbb{Z}_3$ , where  $\alpha$  is the canonical order 6 automorphism,  $\alpha(U) = V$ ,  $\alpha(V) = U^{-1}V$ , satisfy UCT for any  $\theta$ . The proof uses theorems of Tu [14] and Dadarlat [3].

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\theta$  be any irrational number,  $0 < \theta < 1$ , and let  $\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'}$  be any two consecutive convergents of  $\theta$  such that  $\frac{p}{q} < \theta < \frac{p'}{q'}$ . If  $q' < q$ , then there is a Fourier invariant projection in  $A_\theta$  of trace  $q'(q\theta - p)$  which is also the unit of a Fourier invariant  $q' \times q'$  matrix algebra. If  $q' > q$ , then there is a Fourier invariant projection in  $A_\theta$  of trace  $q(p' - q'\theta)$  which is also the unit of a Fourier invariant  $q \times q$  matrix algebra.*

The projection of Corollary 1.3 is a subprojection of the projection of Theorem 1.1, and can probably be chosen to be approximately central (but we have not checked this). In fact, it is not hard to see that such a subprojection also satisfies (3) and (4) of Theorem 1.1 (for appropriate  $q$ ). (See Section 4.)

The plan of the paper is as follows:

- *Section 2:* we choose the appropriate Rieffel framework [13] on which our results are based, as well as declare the Schwartz function  $f$  that the construction depends on.
- *Section 3:* the “discrete part” of the function  $f$  is designed so that the diophantine systems that later emerge will have a unique solution.
- *Section 4:* we establish the Fourier invariance property of the projection and for the associated Fourier invariant matrix algebra, of which it is a unit.
- *Section 5:* an inner product calculation is carried out for Sections 6 and 8.
- *Section 6:* the invertibility of the inner product  $\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$  is established. This yields the existence of the projection, and which, together with the invariance result, will give parts (1) and (3) of Theorem 1.1.
- *Section 7:* the projection is shown to be approximately central, giving (2) of Theorem 1.1.
- *Section 8:* the projection is shown to be a point—thus, the cut downs of the canonical unitaries are approximately in the invariant  $q \times q$  matrix algebra—giving (4) of Theorem 1.1.
- *Section 9:* we show that the crossed product  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  satisfies UCT for each  $\theta$  (Theorem 9.4), that it is tracially AF for a specifiable dense  $G_\delta$  set of irrationals  $\theta$  (Theorem 9.1), and eventually that it is an AF-algebra for a dense  $G_\delta$  (Theorem 9.3).

The main Theta functions to be used in this paper are

$$\vartheta_2(z, t) = \sum_n e^{\pi i t(n + \frac{1}{2})^2} e^{i2z(n + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad \vartheta_3(z, t) = \sum_n e^{\pi i t n^2} e^{i2zn}, \quad \vartheta_4(z, t) = \sum_n (-1)^n e^{\pi i t n^2} e^{i2zn},$$

for  $z, t \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\text{Im}(t) > 0$ , where all summations range over the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . (For a classic treatment see [19], whose definitions for  $\vartheta_j$  we follow.)

We shall freely adopt the convention  $e(t) := e^{2\pi i t}$ . For integers  $n, q$  we write  $\delta_q^n = 1$  if  $q|n$  and  $\delta_q^n = 0$  otherwise. Thus,  $\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e(\frac{nj}{q}) = q\delta_q^n$  for all integers  $n$ .

The author is indebted to George Elliott for mentioning the Fourier transform problem to him in 1994 and for many helpful e-mail exchanges since then. The author is also indebted to N. Christopher Phillips for valuable discussions related to classification and for a helpful suggestion in proving Theorem 9.4. This research is partly supported by a grant from NSERC.

*Postscript.* After completing this paper we received a preliminary report from Chris Phillips [12] that he has been able to prove, among other things, that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is tracially AF for all irrational  $\theta$  (generalizing our Theorem 9.1).

## §2. RIEFFEL'S FRAMEWORK.

The construction is based on Rieffel's Theorem 2.15 in [13] stating that the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  on a locally compact Abelian group  $M$  is an equivalence bimodule with the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*(D, \mathfrak{h})$  and  $C^*(D^\perp, \bar{\mathfrak{h}})$ , acting on the left and the right, respectively. We shall write these algebras simply as  $C^*(D)$  and  $C^*(D^\perp)$ . Here,  $D$  is a lattice in  $G = M \times \widehat{M}$ , and  $\mathfrak{h}$  the Heisenberg cocycle on  $G$ . Further,  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(M))$  is the Heisenberg representation given by  $[\pi_{(m,s)}f](n) = \langle n, s \rangle f(n+m)$ . The  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the unitaries  $\pi_x$ , for  $x \in D$ , is  $C^*(D)$ , while  $C^*(D^\perp)$  is the opposite algebra of the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the unitaries  $\pi_y^*$ , for  $y \in D^\perp$ . ( $D^\perp = \{y \in G : \mathfrak{h}(x, y)\overline{\mathfrak{h}(y, x)} = 1, \forall x \in D\}$ .) We shall assume that  $M$  is canonically isomorphic to its dual  $\widehat{M}$  so that there is an order four map  $R : G \rightarrow G$  given by  $R(u; v) = (-v; u)$ . A good summary of this situation can be found in Boca's paper [2] (or in [17]). In [2] it is shown that on each of these  $C^*$ -algebras there is a canonical order four automorphism, corresponding to the Fourier transform, given by  $\sigma(\pi_x) = \overline{\mathfrak{h}(x, x)}\pi_{Rx}$  and  $\sigma'(\pi_y) = \overline{\mathfrak{h}(y, y)}\pi_{Ry}$ , for  $x \in D$ ,  $y \in D^\perp$ . One has

$$\sigma(\langle f, g \rangle_D) = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_D, \quad \sigma'(\langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp}) = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{D^\perp}$$

where  $\widehat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$  (in the sense of harmonic analysis). If  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are the canonical normalized traces on  $C^*(D)$  and  $C^*(D^\perp)$ , respectively, then one has

$$\tau(\langle f, g \rangle_D) = |G/D| \tau'(\langle g, f \rangle_{D^\perp})$$

where  $|G/D|$  is the Haar-Plancherel measure of a fundamental domain for  $D$  in  $G$ .

Let  $\theta$  be an irrational number with  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Let  $p/q$  be any rational number, where  $p \geq 0, q \geq 1$  are relatively prime, satisfying

$$\left| \theta - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, any convergent  $p/q$  of  $\theta$  satisfies this inequality. We will construct invariant projections of trace  $k|q\theta - p|$  for  $k = 1, \dots, q$ . Observe that with no loss of generality we can assume that  $\frac{p}{q} < \theta$ . For if  $\theta < \frac{p}{q}$ , then  $\frac{p'}{q} < 1 - \theta$ , where  $p' = q - p$ , so that  $q(q(1-\theta) - p') = q(p - q\theta)$ . In addition, the canonical isomorphism  $A_\theta \rightarrow A_{1-\theta}$  is compatible with the Fourier transform on each algebra, so that their Fourier invariant projections are in one-to-one correspondence.

Henceforth we will assume that  $0 < \frac{p}{q} < \theta$  satisfies (2.1). By Lagrange's Theorem, one can write  $p$  as a sum of four squares:  $p = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2$ , where  $p_j \geq 0$  are integers. Let  $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$  and consider the lattice  $D$  in  $G = M \times \widehat{M}$  with basis

$$D : \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & [p_1] & [p_2] & 0 & [p_3] & [p_4] \\ 0 & [-p_3] & [-p_4] & \alpha & [p_1] & [p_2] \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha = (\theta - \frac{p}{q})^{1/2}$ , and  $[n] := [n]_q$  is the mod  $q$  class of  $n$ . It is clear that  $D$  is invariant under the "Fourier" map  $R$  on  $G$ , after  $M$  and  $\widehat{M}$  have been identified. Since

a fundamental domain for  $D$  is  $[0, \alpha) \times \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q \times [0, \alpha) \times \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ , the covolume of  $D$  is  $|G/D| = \alpha^2 q^2 = q^2 \theta - pq < 1$  by (2.1). The  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(D)$  is generated by the canonical unitaries  $\pi_{\varepsilon_1}, \pi_{\varepsilon_2}$  whose commutation relation is

$$\pi_{\varepsilon_1} \pi_{\varepsilon_2} \pi_{\varepsilon_1}^* \pi_{\varepsilon_2}^* = \mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \overline{\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1)} = e(\alpha^2 + \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2}{q}) = e(\theta) = \lambda.$$

The unitaries  $U_1 = \mu_0^{1/2} \pi_{\varepsilon_1}$ ,  $U_2 = \mu_0^{-1/2} \pi_{\varepsilon_2}$ , where  $\mu_0 := e(\frac{p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4}{q})$ , enjoy the condition  $U_1 U_2 = \lambda U_2 U_1$  and the Fourier transform can be checked to satisfy  $\sigma(U_1) = U_2, \sigma(U_2) = U_1^*$ .

Throughout the paper, we shall let

$$\beta := \frac{1}{q\alpha} > 1.$$

The complementary lattice  $D^\perp$  can easily be checked to be generated by the (dependent) elements

$$D^\perp : \begin{bmatrix} \delta'_1 \\ \delta'_2 \\ \delta'_3 \\ \delta'_4 \\ \delta'_5 \\ \delta'_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q\beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta p_1 & [1] & 0 & \beta p_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta p_2 & 0 & [1] & \beta p_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta p_3 & 0 & 0 & -\beta p_1 & [1] & 0 \\ -\beta p_4 & 0 & 0 & -\beta p_2 & 0 & [1] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $c, d$  be integers such that  $cp + dq = 1$ . A little reflection will show that a basis for  $D^\perp$  is given by

$$D^\perp : \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & [-cp_1] & [-cp_2] & 0 & [-cp_3] & [-cp_4] \\ 0 & [cp_3] & [cp_4] & \beta & [-cp_1] & [-cp_2] \\ 0 & [p_2] & [-p_1] & 0 & [-p_4] & [p_3] \\ 0 & [p_4] & [-p_3] & 0 & [p_2] & [-p_1] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Indeed, this follows from the following relations and their inverses

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= d\delta'_1 - cp_1\delta'_3 - cp_2\delta'_4 - cp_3\delta'_5 - cp_4\delta'_6, & \delta'_1 &= q\delta_1 \\ \delta_2 &= d\delta'_2 + cp_3\delta'_3 + cp_4\delta'_4 - cp_1\delta'_5 - cp_2\delta'_6, & \delta'_2 &= q\delta_2 \\ \delta_3 &= p_2\delta'_3 - p_1\delta'_4 - p_4\delta'_5 + p_3\delta'_6, & \delta'_3 &= -p_1\delta_1 + p_3\delta_2 + cp_2\delta_3 + cp_4\delta_4 \\ \delta_4 &= p_4\delta'_3 - p_3\delta'_4 + p_2\delta'_5 - p_1\delta'_6, & \delta'_4 &= -p_2\delta_1 + p_4\delta_2 - cp_1\delta_3 - cp_3\delta_4 \\ & & \delta'_5 &= -p_3\delta_1 - p_1\delta_2 - cp_4\delta_3 + cp_2\delta_4 \\ & & \delta'_6 &= -p_4\delta_1 - p_2\delta_2 + cp_3\delta_3 - cp_1\delta_4 \end{aligned}$$

which are easily checked using  $p = \sum_j p_j^2$  and  $cp + dq = 1$ . To check that  $\{\delta_j\}$  is an independent set, it clearly suffices to check that  $\delta_3, \delta_4$  are independent as order  $q$  elements. However, this is immediate. Writing

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 n_j \delta_j = (\beta n_1, [m_1], [m_2]; \beta n_2, [m_3], [m_4])$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= -cp_1 n_1 + cp_3 n_2 + p_2 n_3 + p_4 n_4 \\ m_2 &= -cp_2 n_1 + cp_4 n_2 - p_1 n_3 - p_3 n_4 \\ m_3 &= -cp_3 n_1 - cp_1 n_2 - p_4 n_3 + p_2 n_4 \\ m_4 &= -cp_4 n_1 - cp_2 n_2 + p_3 n_3 - p_1 n_4 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

the  $D^\perp$  inner product coefficients become (for any Schwartz functions  $f, g$  on  $M$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp}(\Sigma_j n_j \delta_j) &= \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp}(\beta n_1, [m_1], [m_2]; \beta n_2, [m_3], [m_4]) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q} \overline{f(x, [n], [m])} g(x + \beta n_1, [n + m_1], [m + m_2]) e(\beta x n_2 + \frac{nm_3 + mm_4}{q}) dx d[n] d[m] \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{[n], [m] \in \mathbb{Z}_q} e(\frac{nm_3 + mm_4}{q}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f(x, [n], [m])} g(x + \beta n_1, [n + m_1], [m + m_2]) e(\beta n_2 x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} = \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp}(\Sigma n_j \delta_j) \cdot \pi_{\Sigma n_j \delta_j}^*.$$

Throughout the paper we shall consider the Schwartz function on  $M$  defined by

$$f(x, n, m) = \frac{2^{1/4}}{\sqrt{q}} h(x) \varphi(n, m), \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \varphi(n, m) = e(\frac{1}{q}[an^2 + bnm + \gamma m^2]) \\ h(x) = e^{-\pi x^2} \end{cases}$$

and  $a, b, \gamma$  are suitable integers to be chosen carefully in the next section. One has

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}(\Sigma n_j \delta_j) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{q^2} \Omega H(n_1 \beta, n_2 \beta)$$

where

$$\Omega = \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e(\frac{nm_3 + mm_4}{q}) \overline{\varphi(n, m)} \varphi(n + m_1, m + m_2)$$

and

$$H(s, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{h(x)} h(x + s) e(tx) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e(-\frac{1}{2}st) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(s^2 + t^2)}.$$

In the following it will be useful to use the identity

$$\pi_{n\delta} = \mathfrak{h}(\delta, \delta)^{-n(n-1)/2} \pi_\delta^n$$

which holds for all vectors  $\delta$  and integers  $n$ . Let

$$V_j := \lambda_{jj}^{-(q+1)/2} \pi_{\delta_j}^* = \lambda_{jj}^{-(q-1)/2} \pi_{-\delta_j}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , where  $\lambda_{jk} = \mathfrak{h}(\delta_j, \delta_k)$ . From  $\pi_{\delta_j} \pi_{\delta_k} = \lambda_{jk} \bar{\lambda}_{kj} \pi_{\delta_k} \pi_{\delta_j}$  one has

$$V_j V_k = \lambda_{jk} \bar{\lambda}_{kj} V_k V_j$$

and the commutation relations

$$V_1 V_2 = e(\theta') V_2 V_1, \quad V_3 V_4 = e\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) V_4 V_3, \quad V_j V_k = V_k V_j, \quad V_k^q = I,$$

for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $k = 3, 4$ , where  $\theta' = \beta^2 + \frac{c}{q} = \frac{c\theta+d}{q\theta-p}$  is in the standard  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  orbit of  $\theta$ . Hence  $C^*(D^\perp)$  is isomorphic to  $M_q(A_{\theta'})$  (by universality and simplicity of the latter). One can also check that the Fourier transform  $\sigma'$  on this  $C^*$ -algebra is given by

$$\sigma'(V_1) = V_2, \quad \sigma'(V_2) = V_1^*, \quad \sigma'(V_3) = V_4, \quad \sigma'(V_4) = V_3^*.$$

From the relations

$$\mathfrak{h}(u+v, w) = \mathfrak{h}(u, w)\mathfrak{h}(v, w), \quad \mathfrak{h}(u, v+w) = \mathfrak{h}(u, v)\mathfrak{h}(u, w),$$

and since  $\lambda_{14} = \lambda_{23} = 1$ , one gets

$$\mathfrak{h}(n_1\delta_1 + n_2\delta_2, n_3\delta_3 + n_4\delta_4) = \lambda_{13}^{n_1 n_3} \lambda_{24}^{n_2 n_4}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\Sigma n_j \delta_j} &= \overline{\mathfrak{h}(n_1\delta_1 + n_2\delta_2, n_3\delta_3 + n_4\delta_4)} \pi_{n_1\delta_1 + n_2\delta_2} \pi_{n_3\delta_3 + n_4\delta_4} \\ &= \lambda_{13}^{-n_1 n_3} \lambda_{24}^{-n_2 n_4} \overline{\mathfrak{h}(n_1\delta_1, n_2\delta_2)} \pi_{n_1\delta_1} \pi_{n_2\delta_2} \overline{\mathfrak{h}(n_3\delta_3, n_4\delta_4)} \pi_{n_3\delta_3} \pi_{n_4\delta_4} \\ &= \lambda_{13}^{-n_1 n_3} \lambda_{24}^{-n_2 n_4} \lambda_{12}^{-n_1 n_2} \lambda_{34}^{-n_3 n_4} \pi_{n_1\delta_1} \pi_{n_2\delta_2} \pi_{n_3\delta_3} \pi_{n_4\delta_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\pi_{\Sigma n_j \delta_j}^* = \Lambda_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} V_4^{n_4} V_3^{n_3} V_2^{n_2} V_1^{n_1}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} := \lambda_{13}^{n_1 n_3} \lambda_{24}^{n_2 n_4} \lambda_{12}^{n_1 n_2} \lambda_{34}^{n_3 n_4} \lambda_{11}^{n_1(n_1+q)/2} \lambda_{22}^{n_2(n_2+q)/2} \lambda_{33}^{n_3(n_3+q)/2} \lambda_{44}^{n_4(n_4+q)/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Thus we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} = \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp}(\Sigma n_j \delta_j) \Lambda_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} V_4^{n_4} V_3^{n_3} V_2^{n_2} V_1^{n_1}. \quad (2.4)$$

### §3. A DIOPHANTINE SYSTEM.

With  $p/q$  being any positive rational and  $p = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2$ , and with  $m_j$  as defined in (2.2), consider the diophantine system of congruences in  $n_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ )

$$m_3 + 2am_1 + bm_2 + u_3 \equiv 0, \quad m_4 + 2\gamma m_2 + bm_1 + u_4 \equiv 0 \quad (3.1)$$

which will arise in Section 5, where (throughout the rest of the paper) we shall simply write “ $n \equiv m$ ” for  $n \equiv m \pmod q$ , and where  $u_3, u_4$  are integers. Inserting the values of  $m_j$ , the diophantine system (3.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} r_4 n_3 + r_2 n_4 &\equiv -u_3 + cs_3 n_1 + cs_1 n_2, \\ r_3 n_3 + r_1 n_4 &\equiv -u_4 + cs_4 n_1 + cs_2 n_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= -p_1 - 2\gamma p_3 + bp_4, & s_1 &= p_1 - 2ap_3 - bp_4 \\ r_2 &= p_2 + 2ap_4 - bp_3, & s_2 &= p_2 - 2\gamma p_4 - bp_3 \\ r_3 &= p_3 - 2\gamma p_1 + bp_2, & s_3 &= p_3 + 2ap_1 + bp_2 \\ r_4 &= -p_4 + 2ap_2 - bp_1, & s_4 &= p_4 + 2\gamma p_2 + bp_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In this section we shall arrange for the determinant  $\Delta = r_1 r_4 - r_2 r_3$  of the system (3.2) to be relatively prime to  $q$ . Once this is done, we can then solve (3.2) for  $n_3, n_4$  and obtain

$$n_3 = c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2, \quad n_4 = c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= c\Delta'(r_1 s_3 - r_2 s_4), & a_2 &= c\Delta'(r_1 s_1 - r_2 s_2), \\ b_1 &= c\Delta'(r_4 s_4 - r_3 s_3), & b_2 &= c\Delta'(r_4 s_2 - r_3 s_1), \\ c_3 &= \Delta'(-r_1 u_3 + r_2 u_4), & c_4 &= \Delta'(-r_4 u_4 + r_3 u_3), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and  $\Delta'$  is an integer such that  $\Delta'\Delta \equiv 1 \pmod q$ . It is straightforward to check that one also has  $\Delta = s_1 s_4 - s_2 s_3$  by (3.3).

More explicitly, the determinant is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &:= (-p_1 - 2\gamma p_3 + bp_4)(-p_4 + 2ap_2 - bp_1) - (p_2 + 2ap_4 - bp_3)(p_3 - 2\gamma p_1 + bp_2) \\ &= bA + 2(\gamma - a)B + (1 - b^2 + 4a\gamma)C \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = p_1^2 - p_2^2 + p_3^2 - p_4^2, \quad B = p_1 p_2 + p_3 p_4, \quad C = p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3.$$

The following beautiful  $ABC$  relation is easy to check:

$$A^2 + 4B^2 + 4C^2 = p^2.$$

From this it follows that  $(A, B, C)$  divides  $p$ , and hence is relatively prime to  $q$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $p/q$  be any positive rational in reduced form and write  $p = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2$  (by Lagrange's Theorem). Then there are integers  $a, b, \gamma$  such that  $(\Delta, q) = 1$ .*

To prove this, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $p, r, q$  be integers such that  $(p, r, q) = 1$ . Then there is an integer  $k$  such that  $(p + kr, q) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be the distinct prime divisors of  $q$  that divide some element of the set  $\{p + mr : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then no  $t_j$  divides  $(p, r)$ . Let  $k_j$  be an integer such that  $t_j$  divides  $p + k_j r$ . If  $n = 1$ , letting  $k = k_1 + 1$ , it is easy to see that  $t_1$  does not divide  $p + kr$ . (Since otherwise  $t_1 | r$  and hence  $t_1 | p$ , a contradiction.) Now suppose  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $d_j = (k_1 - k_j, t_j)$ , for  $j = 2, \dots, n$  and put  $k = k_1 + \frac{t_2}{d_2} \cdots \frac{t_n}{d_n}$ . First we claim that  $t_j \nmid (k - k_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Suppose for some  $j$  one has  $t_j | (k - k_j)$ . For  $j = 1$  this is clearly impossible. Hence assume  $j \geq 2$ . Then  $t_j$  divides  $k_1 - k_j + \frac{t_2}{d_2} \cdots \frac{t_j}{d_j} \cdots \frac{t_n}{d_n}$  which is not possible whether  $d_j = 1$  or  $t_j$ , as is easily seen, hence the claim. Now if  $t_j | (p + kr)$  for some  $j$ , then  $t_j | (k - k_j)r$  and hence  $t_j | r$ . But then  $t_j | p$  and so  $t_j | (p, r)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X, Y, Z$  be integers such that  $(X, Y, Z) = 1$ . Then there is an integer  $k$  such that  $kX + (k^2 - 1)Y$  is relatively prime to  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  be the prime divisors of  $Z$  that do not divide  $Y$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  the prime divisors of  $(Z, Y)$ . Let  $k = t_1 \cdots t_m$  and consider  $\beta := kX + k^2Y - Y$ . If some  $t_j | \beta$ , then  $t_j | Y$ , a contradiction. If some  $s_i | \beta$ , then  $s_i | kX$ . But as  $s_i \nmid k$ ,  $s_i$  must divide  $X$  and hence also  $(X, Y, Z) = 1$ , another contradiction.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* The proof is divided according to the parity of  $q$ .

Suppose  $q$  is odd. Let  $b = 2ka$  and  $\gamma = k^2a$  where  $k, a$  are integers to be determined. Then one has  $\Delta = 2kaA + 2a(k^2 - 1)B + C = 2a\beta_k + C$ , where  $\beta_k := kA + (k^2 - 1)B$ . Applying Lemma 3.3 with  $X = A$ ,  $Y = B$ ,  $Z = (q, C)$ , one obtains an integer  $k$  such that  $\beta_k$  is relatively prime to  $(q, C)$ . In particular, the hypothesis of Lemma 3.2,  $(2\beta_k, C, q) = 1$ , is now satisfied (as  $q$  is odd), hence there is an integer  $a$  such that  $\Delta = 2a\beta_k + C$  is relatively prime to  $q$ .

Suppose  $q$  is even. In this case  $A$  is odd since  $p$  is odd using the  $ABC$  relation above. Let  $b = 1 + 2ka$  and  $\gamma = k^2a$  where  $k, a$  are integers to be determined. Then one checks that  $\Delta = 2a\beta_k + A$  where  $\beta_k := k(A - 2C) + (k^2 - 1)B$ . Applying Lemma 3.3 with  $X = A - 2C$ ,  $Y = B$ ,  $Z = (q, A)$  (which are relatively prime since  $A$  is odd), one obtains an integer  $k$  such that  $\beta_k$ , and hence  $2\beta_k$ , is relatively prime to  $(q, A)$ . Again Lemma 3.2 now yields an integer  $a$  such that  $\Delta = 2a\beta_k + A$  is relatively prime to  $q$ .  $\square$

Henceforth, and throughout the rest of the paper,  $a, b, \gamma$  will be fixed integers such that  $\Delta$  is relatively prime to  $q$ .

#### §4. INVARIANCE.

We begin by looking at the above groups/lattices obtained by removing the copy of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $M_0 = \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ ,  $G_0 = M_0 \times \widehat{M}_0 = M_0 \times M_0$ ,  $D_0$  the lattice in  $G_0$ , and  $D_0^\perp$  its complement, with bases:

$$D_0 : \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ -p_3 & -p_4 & p_1 & p_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_0^\perp : \begin{bmatrix} \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_2 & -p_1 & -p_4 & p_3 \\ p_4 & -p_3 & p_2 & -p_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The fact that  $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \delta_3, \delta_4\}$  is a basis for  $G_0$  follows since the determinant

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ -p_3 & -p_4 & p_1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & -p_1 & -p_4 & p_3 \\ p_4 & -p_3 & p_2 & -p_1 \end{bmatrix} = -(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2)^2 = -p^2$$

is relatively prime to  $q$ . It is easily checked that  $\delta_3, \delta_4 \in D_0^\perp$ , and that if  $n_1\varepsilon_1 + n_2\varepsilon_2 \in D_0^\perp$ , then  $n_1 \equiv n_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , hence  $D_0^\perp$  does indeed have  $\{\delta_3, \delta_4\}$  as a basis. Further, a fundamental domain for  $D_0$  is  $D_0^\perp$  itself because the restriction of the canonical map  $G_0 \rightarrow G_0/D_0$  to  $D_0^\perp$  is clearly a bijection. Since in terms of the aforementioned basis  $D_0^\perp$  is bijective with  $\{0\} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ , one obtains the covolume  $|G_0/D_0| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sqrt{q} \sqrt{q} = 1$ . Now the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(D_0) \cong M_q$  is generated by unitaries  $u_1, u_2$  with  $u_1 u_2 = e(\frac{p}{q}) u_2 u_1$ , and  $C^*(D_0^\perp) \cong M_q$  is generated by unitaries  $V_3, V_4$  of order  $q$  enjoying  $V_3 V_4 = e(\frac{p}{q}) V_4 V_3$ . On each of these algebras we have respective Fourier transforms  $\sigma_0(\pi_x) = \overline{\mathfrak{h}(x, x)} \pi_{R_0 x}$  for  $x \in D_0$ , and  $\sigma'_0(\pi_y) = \overline{\mathfrak{h}(y, y)} \pi_{R_0 y}$  for  $y \in D_0^\perp$ , where  $R_0(u; v) = (-v; u)$  for  $u, v \in M_0$ . They satisfy the properties

$$\sigma_0(\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_{D_0}) = \langle \widehat{\phi}_1, \widehat{\phi}_2 \rangle_{D_0}, \quad \sigma'_0(\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_{D_0^\perp}) = \langle \widehat{\phi}_1, \widehat{\phi}_2 \rangle_{D_0^\perp}.$$

If  $\tau_0, \tau'_0$  are the canonical normalized traces on  $C^*(D_0)$  and  $C^*(D_0^\perp)$ , respectively, then  $\tau_0(\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_{D_0}) = \tau'_0(\langle \phi_2, \phi_1 \rangle_{D_0^\perp})$ , for  $\phi_j \in (M_0)$ , since we have  $|G_0/D_0| = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $\varphi(n, m) = e(\frac{1}{q}[an^2 + bnm + \gamma m^2])$ , with  $a, b, \gamma$  chosen according to Proposition 3.1, then there is a unitary  $W_0$  in  $C^*(V_3, V_4) \cong M_q(\mathbb{C})$  such that*

$$\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi W_0.$$

*In fact,  $W_0 = \langle \varphi, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0^\perp}$ , after suitably normalizing  $\varphi$  so that  $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp} = 1$ . Further,  $\sigma'_0(W_0) = W_0^*$ .*

*Proof.* We will show that, after suitably normalizing  $\varphi$ , one has  $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp} = 1$ . By the above trace relation it will also follow that  $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{D_0} = 1$  (being a projection of trace 1), and hence applying the Fourier transforms one has  $\langle \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0^\perp} = 1$  and  $\langle \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0} = 1$ . Therefore, one obtains  $\widehat{\varphi} = \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{D_0} \widehat{\varphi} = \varphi \langle \varphi, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0^\perp}$ . Next one notes that  $W_0 = \langle \varphi, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0^\perp}$  is a unitary in the matrix algebra  $C^*(V_3, V_4)$  since

$$W_0 W_0^* = \langle \varphi, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0^\perp} \langle \varphi, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0^\perp}^* = \langle \varphi, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0^\perp} \langle \widehat{\varphi}, \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp} = \langle \varphi, \widehat{\varphi} \langle \widehat{\varphi}, \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp} \rangle_{D_0^\perp} = \langle \varphi, \langle \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle_{D_0} \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp} = 1.$$

Therefore, we need only show  $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp}$  is a scalar.

For conveneince, let

$$M = p_2k + p_4\ell, \quad N = -p_1k - p_3\ell, \quad M' = -p_4k + p_2\ell, \quad N' = p_3k - p_1\ell.$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp}(k\delta_3 + \ell\delta_4) &= \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp}(M, N; M', N') \\ &= \int_{M_0} \overline{\varphi(n, m)} \varphi(n + M, m + N) e\left(\frac{nM' + mN'}{q}\right) d[n] d[m] \\ &= \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{nM' + mN'}{q}\right) \overline{\varphi(n, m)} \varphi(n + M, m + N) \\ &= \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{nM' + mN'}{q}\right) \overline{e\left(\frac{1}{q}[an^2 + bnm + \gamma m^2]\right)} \\ &\quad \cdot e\left(\frac{1}{q}[a(n + M)^2 + b(n + M)(m + N) + \gamma(m + N)^2]\right) \\ &= \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{nM' + mN'}{q}\right) \overline{e\left(\frac{1}{q}[an^2 + bnm + \gamma m^2]\right)} \\ &\quad \cdot e\left(\frac{1}{q}[a(n^2 + 2Mn + M^2) + b(nm + Mm + Nn + MN) + \gamma(m^2 + 2Nm + N^2)]\right) \\ &= \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{nM' + mN'}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{1}{q}[a(2Mn + M^2) + b(Mm + Nn + MN) + \gamma(2Nm + N^2)]\right) \\ &= e\left(\frac{1}{q}[aM^2 + bMN + \gamma N^2]\right) \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{nM' + mN'}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{1}{q}[2aMn + bMm + bNn + 2\gamma Nm]\right) \\ &= e\left(\frac{1}{q}[aM^2 + bMN + \gamma N^2]\right) \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{n}{q}[M' + 2aM + bN]\right) e\left(\frac{m}{q}[N' + 2\gamma N + bM]\right) \\ &= q^2 e\left(\frac{1}{q}[aM^2 + bMN + \gamma N^2]\right) \delta_q^{M' + 2aM + bN} \delta_q^{N' + 2\gamma N + bM}. \end{aligned}$$

These coefficients are nonzero iff the diophantine system

$$M' + 2aM + bN \equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \quad N' + 2\gamma N + bM \equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

holds, or when written out they become, in terms of (3.3),

$$r_4k + r_2\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \quad r_3k + r_1\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{q}. \quad (4.1)$$

Its determinant is  $\Delta = r_1r_4 - r_2r_3$  of Section 3. By Proposition 3.1,  $\Delta$  is relatively prime to  $q$ , so it follows that (4.1) holds iff  $k \equiv \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . This means that  $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{D_0^\perp}$  is a positive scalar, and hence the result.  $\square$

Since the unitaries  $V_3, V_4$  of the matrix algebra act on the right of  $f = h\varphi$  only by acting on  $\varphi$  (since they do not affect  $h$ ), which is why we used the same notation for them as for the  $V_3, V_4$  of Section 2, the same will hold of the unitary  $W_0$ , and by Lemma 4.1 one obtains (as  $\widehat{h} = h$ )

$$\widehat{f} = h\widehat{\varphi} = h(\varphi W_0) = (h\varphi)W_0 = fW_0. \quad (4.2)$$

In Section 6 it will be shown that  $b^{-2} := \langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$  is invertible. Once this is done one sets  $\xi := fb$  so that  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_{D^\perp} = 1$  and  $e := \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_D$  is a projection in  $C^*(D) \cong A_\theta$  of trace  $q(q\theta - p)$ . One then has the isomorphism  $\mu_\xi : eA_\theta e \rightarrow C^*(D^\perp)$  given by  $\mu_\xi(x) = \langle \xi, x\xi \rangle_{D^\perp}$ , with inverse  $\mu_\xi^{-1}(y) = \langle \xi y, \xi \rangle_D$ . One easily checks that  $\mu_{\widehat{\xi}}\sigma = \sigma'\mu_\xi$ . From (4.2) one has

$$\sigma'(\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}) = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{f} \rangle_{D^\perp} = \langle fW_0, fW_0 \rangle_{D^\perp} = W_0^* \langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} W_0.$$

Thus,  $\sigma'(b) = W_0^* b W_0$ , and one gets

$$\widehat{\xi} = \widehat{f}\sigma'(b) = (fW_0)(W_0^* b W_0) = (fb)W_0 = \xi W_0.$$

Therefore, we can deduce that  $e$  is a Fourier invariant projection:

$$\sigma(e) = \sigma(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_D) = \langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_D = \langle \xi W_0, \xi W_0 \rangle_D = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_D = e, \quad (4.3)$$

where we used the adjoint property  $\langle \xi a, \eta \rangle_D = \langle \xi, \eta a^* \rangle_D$  for equivalence bimodules. Next we show that the inverse image of  $C^*(V_3, V_4) \cong M_q$  under  $\mu_\xi$  is an invariant  $q \times q$  matrix algebra under the Fourier transform  $\sigma$ . Note that

$$\mu_{\widehat{\xi}}(x) = \langle \widehat{\xi}, x\widehat{\xi} \rangle_{D^\perp} = \langle \xi W_0, x\xi W_0 \rangle_{D^\perp} = W_0^* \langle \xi, x\xi \rangle_{D^\perp} W_0 = W_0^* \mu_\xi(x) W_0 = \rho(\mu_\xi(x))$$

where  $\rho(\cdot) = W_0^*(\cdot)W_0$  is an automorphism of  $C^*(D^\perp) \cong M_q(A_{\theta'})$  that is the identity on  $A_{\theta'}$  and leaves the matrix factor invariant. Since we already have  $\mu_{\widehat{\xi}}\sigma = \sigma'\mu_\xi$ , one gets  $\mu_\xi\sigma = (\rho^{-1}\sigma')\mu_\xi$ , from which it is immediate that the inverse image of  $C^*(V_3, V_4) \cong M_q$  under  $\mu_\xi$  is invariant under  $\sigma$  (as  $C^*(V_3, V_4)$  is invariant under  $\sigma'$  and  $\rho$ ). To summarize, we have obtained the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *The projection  $e = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_D$ , where  $\xi = fb$  and  $b = \langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}^{-1/2}$ , is invariant under the Fourier transform  $\sigma$ , has trace  $q|q\theta - p|$ , and is the unit of a Fourier invariant  $q \times q$  matrix algebra contained in  $A_\theta$ . In particular, there is a Fourier invariant subprojection  $e'$  of  $e$  of trace  $k|q\theta - p|$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$  that is the unit of a Fourier invariant  $k \times k$  matrix subalgebra of  $e'A_\theta e'$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $\theta$  be any irrational number,  $0 < \theta < 1$ , and let  $\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'}$  be any two consecutive convergents of  $\theta$  such that  $\frac{p}{q} < \theta < \frac{p'}{q'}$ . If  $q' < q$ , then there is a Fourier invariant projection in  $A_\theta$  of trace  $q'(q\theta - p)$  which is also the unit of a Fourier invariant  $q' \times q'$  matrix algebra. If  $q' > q$ , then there is a Fourier invariant projection in  $A_\theta$  of trace  $q(p' - q'\theta)$  which is also the unit of a Fourier invariant  $q \times q$  matrix algebra.*

**Primitive Form of the Projection.** Now let us calculate the inner product  $\langle f, f \rangle_D$  where  $f$  is the Schwartz function of Section 2. We will need this for Section 7. First, We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_D(m\varepsilon_1 + n\varepsilon_2) &= \langle f, g \rangle_D(m\alpha, mp_1 - np_3, mp_2 - np_4; n\alpha, mp_3 + np_1, mp_4 + np_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r,s=0}^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, r, s) \overline{g(x + m\alpha, r + mp_1 - np_3, s + mp_2 - np_4)} \\ &\quad \cdot e(-xn\alpha) e(-\frac{r(mp_3+np_1)}{q}) e(-\frac{s(mp_4+np_2)}{q}) dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle f, g \rangle_D = |G/D| \sum_{m,n} \langle f, g \rangle_D(m\varepsilon_1 + n\varepsilon_2) \pi_{m\varepsilon_1+n\varepsilon_2}$$

but

$$\pi_{m\varepsilon_1+n\varepsilon_2} = \overline{\mathfrak{h}(m\varepsilon_1, n\varepsilon_2)} \pi_{m\varepsilon_1} \pi_{n\varepsilon_2} = e(-\alpha^2 - \frac{p_1^2+p_2^2}{q})^{mn} \pi_{m\varepsilon_1} \pi_{n\varepsilon_2}$$

Since for any  $\varepsilon$  one has

$$\pi_{m\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}^{m(m-1)/2} \pi_{\varepsilon}^m$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{m\varepsilon_1+n\varepsilon_2} &= e(-\alpha^2 - \frac{p_1^2+p_2^2}{q})^{mn} \overline{\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1)}^{m(m-1)/2} \pi_{\varepsilon_1}^m \overline{\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2)}^{n(n-1)/2} \pi_{\varepsilon_2}^n \\ &= e(-\theta + \frac{p}{q} - \frac{p_1^2+p_2^2}{q})^{mn} \overline{\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1)}^{m(m-1)/2} \overline{\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2)}^{n(n-1)/2} \pi_{\varepsilon_1}^m \pi_{\varepsilon_2}^n \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = e(\frac{p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4}{q}) =: \mu_0, \quad \mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = e(\frac{-p_1 p_3 - p_2 p_4}{q}) =: \overline{\mu}_0,$$

and since  $U_1 = \mu_0^{1/2} \pi_{\varepsilon_1}$  and  $U_2 = \mu_0^{-1/2} \pi_{\varepsilon_2}$  one obtains

$$\pi_{m\varepsilon_1+n\varepsilon_2} = e(-\theta + \frac{p_3^2+p_4^2}{q})^{mn} \mu_0^{(n^2-m^2)/2} U_1^m U_2^n = \mu_{m,n} U_2^n U_1^m$$

where

$$\mu_{m,n} := e(\frac{p_3^2+p_4^2}{q})^{mn} \mu_0^{(n^2-m^2)/2}.$$

Since  $|G/D| = 1/\beta^2$ , we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_D = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{m,n} \langle f, g \rangle_D(m\varepsilon_1 + n\varepsilon_2) \mu_{m,n} U_2^n U_1^m.$$

For convenience, let

$$M = mp_1 - np_3, \quad N = mp_2 - np_4, \quad M' = mp_3 + np_1, \quad N' = mp_4 + np_2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f, f \rangle_D(m\varepsilon_1 + n\varepsilon_2) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{q^2} \sum_{r,s=0}^{q-1} e(-\frac{(rM'+sN')}{q}) \int h(x)\varphi(r,s)\overline{h(x+m\alpha)\varphi(r+M,s+N)} e(-xn\alpha)dx \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{q^2} \overline{H(m\alpha, n\alpha)} \sum_{r,s=0}^{q-1} e(-\frac{(rM'+sN')}{q}) e(\frac{1}{q}[ar^2 + brs + \gamma s^2]) \\
&\quad \cdot e(-\frac{1}{q}[a(r+M)^2 + b(r+M)(s+N) + \gamma(s+N)^2]) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{q^2} \overline{H(m\alpha, n\alpha)} e(-\frac{1}{q}[aM^2 + bMN + \gamma N^2]) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} e(-\frac{r}{q}[M' + 2aM + bN]) \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} e(-\frac{s}{q}[N' + 2\gamma N + bM]) \\
&= \sqrt{2} \overline{H(m\alpha, n\alpha)} e(-\frac{1}{q}[aM^2 + bMN + \gamma N^2]) \cdot \delta_q^{M'+2aM+bN} \delta_q^{N'+2\gamma N+bM}.
\end{aligned}$$

This inner product coefficient is nonzero exactly when the diophantine system of congruences (in terms of the notation in (3.3))

$$\begin{aligned}
M' + 2aM + bN &= s_1n + s_3m \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \\
N' + 2\gamma N + bM &= s_2n + s_4m \equiv 0 \pmod{q}
\end{aligned}$$

has a solution for  $m, n$ . Its determinant is  $\Delta = s_1s_4 - s_2s_3$  which is the same as that of the diophantine system considered in Section 3, and which is relatively prime to  $q$ . This shows that  $\delta_q^{M'+2aM+bN} \delta_q^{N'+2\gamma N+bM} = 1$  iff  $m \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$  (and in which case  $M \equiv N \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ ). Thus,  $\langle f, f \rangle_D(qm\varepsilon_1 + qn\varepsilon_2) = \sqrt{2} \overline{H(qm\alpha, qn\alpha)}$  and

$$\langle f, f \rangle_D = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta^2} \sum_{m,n} \overline{H(qm\alpha, qn\alpha)} \mu_{qm, qn} U_2^{qn} U_1^{qm}.$$

where  $\mu_{qm, qn} = (-1)^{q(p_1p_3+p_2p_4)(n^2-m^2)}$ . Since we took  $h(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$  one has

$$H(s, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e(-\frac{1}{2}st) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(s^2+t^2)}$$

so that

$$X := \langle f, f \rangle_D = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{m,n} e(\frac{1}{2}q^2\alpha^2 mn) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}q^2\alpha^2(m^2+n^2)} \mu_{qm, qn} U_2^{qn} U_1^{qm}.$$

Now we refer to this element as a *primitive form* of the projection  $e$  because it is positive and is supported on  $e$ . That is, (i)  $Xe = X$ , and (ii)  $ye = 0$  if, and only if,  $yX = 0$  for any positive element  $y$ . To see (i), note that  $e = \langle fb, fb \rangle_D = \langle fb^2, f \rangle_D$ , and

$$Xe = \langle f, f \rangle_D \langle fb^2, f \rangle_D = \langle \langle f, f \rangle_D fb^2, f \rangle_D = \langle f \langle f, fb^2 \rangle_{D^\perp}, f \rangle_D = \langle f, f \rangle_D = X$$

by definition of  $b$  (recall  $b^{-2} := \langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$ ). For (ii), if  $ye = 0$ , then  $yX = 0$  by (i). So assume  $yX = 0$ . Then  $0 = yXy = \langle yf, yf \rangle_D$ , so that  $yf = 0$ . Hence  $yfb = 0$  and so  $0 = \langle yfb, fb \rangle_D = y \langle fb, fb \rangle_D = ye$ , as needed.

### §5. INNER PRODUCT CALCULATION.

In this section we calculate the inner products  $\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$  and  $\langle f, U_1 f \rangle_{D^\perp}$  in one swoop for Sections 6 and 8. Since

$$(\pi_{\varepsilon_1} f)(x, n, m) = e\left(\frac{p_3 n + p_4 m}{q}\right) f(x + \alpha, n + p_1, m + p_2)$$

we look more generally at the function

$$g(x, n, m) = e\left(\frac{t_3 n + t_4 m}{q}\right) f(x + t, n + t_1, m + t_2)$$

where  $t$  is real and  $t_j$  are integers, which gives  $f$  for  $t = t_j = 0$  and gives  $\pi_{\varepsilon_1} f = \mu_0^{-1/2} U_1 f$  for  $t = \alpha$  and  $t_j = p_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} (\sum n_j \delta_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{nm_3 + mm_4}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f(x, n, m)} g(x + \beta n_1, n + m_1, m + m_2) e(\beta n_2 x) dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{q^2} \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{nm_3 + mm_4}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{h(x) \varphi(n, m)} e\left(\frac{t_3(n+m_1) + t_4(m+m_2)}{q}\right) h(x + t + \beta n_1) \\ & \quad \cdot \varphi(n + m_1 + t_1, m + m_2 + t_2) e(\beta n_2 x) dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{q^2} H(t + \beta n_1, \beta n_2) \Omega_g \end{aligned}$$

where  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$  was given in Section 2 and (using the summation in the proof of Lemma 4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_g &= \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{nm_3 + mm_4}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{t_3(n+m_1) + t_4(m+m_2)}{q}\right) \overline{\varphi(n, m)} \varphi(n + m_1 + t_1, m + m_2 + t_2). \\ &= e\left(\frac{t_3 m_1 + t_4 m_2}{q}\right) \sum_{m, n=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{n(m_3 + t_3) + m(m_4 + t_4)}{q}\right) \overline{\varphi(n, m)} \varphi(n + m_1 + t_1, m + m_2 + t_2) \\ &= q^2 e\left(\frac{t_3 m_1 + t_4 m_2}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{1}{q} [a(m_1 + t_1)^2 + b(m_1 + t_1)(m_2 + t_2) + \gamma(m_2 + t_2)^2]\right) \\ & \quad \cdot \delta_q^{(m_3 + t_3) + 2a(m_1 + t_1) + b(m_2 + t_2)} \delta_q^{(m_4 + t_4) + 2\gamma(m_2 + t_2) + b(m_1 + t_1)} \\ &= q^2 e\left(\frac{t_3 m_1 + t_4 m_2}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{1}{q} [a(m_1 + t_1)^2 + b(m_1 + t_1)(m_2 + t_2) + \gamma(m_2 + t_2)^2]\right) \\ & \quad \cdot \delta_q^{m_3 + 2am_1 + bm_2 + u_3} \delta_q^{m_4 + 2\gamma m_2 + bm_1 + u_4} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_3 = t_3 + 2at_1 + bt_2, \quad u_4 = t_4 + 2\gamma t_2 + bt_1. \quad (5.1)$$

Now  $\Omega_g$  is non-zero precisely when

$$m_3 + 2am_1 + bm_2 + u_3 \equiv 0, \quad m_4 + 2\gamma m_2 + bm_1 + u_4 \equiv 0$$

which is the diophantine system considered in Section 3, and which by Proposition 3.1 has a unique solution (mod  $q$ ) for  $n_3, n_4$ . From (3.4) we obtained

$$n_3 = c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2, \quad n_4 = c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $a_j, b_j, c_j$  are given by (3.5). Thus by (2.4) and (5.2) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} &= \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} (\Sigma n_j \delta_j) \Lambda_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} V_4^{n_4} V_3^{n_3} V_2^{n_2} V_1^{n_1} \\ &= \sum_{n_1 n_2} C_{n_1 n_2} \Lambda'_{n_1 n_2} V_4^{c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2} V_3^{c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2} V_2^{n_2} V_1^{n_1}\end{aligned}$$

where, as  $m_j$  are given by (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}C_{n_1 n_2} &= \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} (\Sigma n_j \delta_j) \Big|_{n_3 = c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2, \ n_4 = c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2} \\ &= \sqrt{2} H(t + \beta n_1, \beta n_2) e(\frac{t_3 m_1 + t_4 m_2}{q}) e(\frac{1}{q} [a(m_1 + t_1)^2 + b(m_1 + t_1)(m_2 + t_2) + \gamma(m_2 + t_2)^2]) \\ &= e(\frac{-t_3 t_1 - t_4 t_2}{q}) e(-\frac{1}{2}(t + \beta n_1)\beta n_2) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(t + \beta n_1)^2 + \beta^2 n_2^2]} e(\frac{t_3(m_1 + t_1) + t_4(m_2 + t_2)}{q}) \\ &\quad \cdot e(\frac{1}{q} [a(m_1 + t_1)^2 + b(m_1 + t_1)(m_2 + t_2) + \gamma(m_2 + t_2)^2])\end{aligned}$$

in which the  $n_3, n_4$  in  $m_1, m_2$  must be evaluated according to (5.2), and by (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda'_{n_1 n_2} &= \Lambda_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \Big|_{n_3 = c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2, \ n_4 = c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2} \\ &= \lambda_{13}^{n_1 n_3} \lambda_{24}^{n_2 n_4} \lambda_{12}^{n_1 n_2} \lambda_{34}^{n_3 n_4} \lambda_{11}^{n_1(n_1+q)/2} \lambda_{22}^{n_2(n_2+q)/2} \lambda_{33}^{n_3(n_3+q)/2} \lambda_{44}^{n_4(n_4+q)/2} \\ &= \lambda_{13}^{n_1(c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2)} \lambda_{24}^{n_2(c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2)} \lambda_{12}^{n_1 n_2} \lambda_{34}^{(c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2)(c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2)} \lambda_{11}^{n_1(n_1+q)/2} \\ &\quad \cdot \lambda_{22}^{n_2(n_2+q)/2} \lambda_{33}^{(c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2)(c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2 + q)/2} \lambda_{44}^{(c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2)(c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + q)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$m_1 + t_1 = d_0 + d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2, \quad m_2 + t_2 = e_0 + e_1 n_1 + e_2 n_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}d_0 &= t_1 + p_2 c_3 + p_4 c_4, & d_1 &= -c p_1 + p_2 a_1 + p_4 b_1, & d_2 &= c p_3 + p_2 a_2 + p_4 b_2 \\ e_0 &= t_2 - p_1 c_3 - p_3 c_4, & e_1 &= -c p_2 - p_1 a_1 - p_3 b_1, & e_2 &= c p_4 - p_1 a_2 - p_3 b_2\end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}C_{n_1 n_2} &= e(\frac{-t_3 t_1 - t_4 t_2}{q}) e(-\frac{1}{2}(t + \beta n_1)\beta n_2) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(t + \beta n_1)^2 + \beta^2 n_2^2]} e(\frac{t_3(d_0 + d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2) + t_4(e_0 + e_1 n_1 + e_2 n_2)}{q}) \\ &\quad \cdot e(\frac{1}{q} [a(d_0 + d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2)^2 + b(d_0 + d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2)(e_0 + e_1 n_1 + e_2 n_2) + \gamma(e_0 + e_1 n_1 + e_2 n_2)^2])\end{aligned}$$

Now it can be checked that

$$\begin{aligned}&V_4^{c_4 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2} V_3^{c_3 + a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2} V_2^{n_2} V_1^{n_1} \\ &= e(-\frac{p}{q} c_3 (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2)) e(-\frac{p}{q} b_1 a_2 n_1 n_2) e(-\frac{p}{q} a_2 b_2 \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}) e(-\frac{p}{q} a_1 b_1 \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{2}) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} X_2^{n_2} X_1^{n_1}\end{aligned}$$

where  $X_1 = V_4^{b_1} V_3^{a_1} V_1$ ,  $X_2 = V_4^{b_2} V_3^{a_2} V_2$  are unitaries that generate some rotation algebra. Letting

$$R = 2c_3(b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2) + 2b_1 a_2 n_1 n_2 + a_2 b_2 n_2(n_2 - 1) + a_1 b_1 n_1(n_1 - 1)$$

one has

$$V_4^{c_4+b_1n_1+b_2n_2} V_3^{c_3+a_1n_1+a_2n_2} V_2^{n_2} V_1^{n_1} = e(-\frac{pR}{2q}) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} X_2^{n_2} X_1^{n_1}.$$

Using the following data (recall  $\lambda_{jk} = \mathfrak{h}(\delta_j, \delta_k)$  and  $C = p_1p_4 - p_2p_4$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{13} &= e(\frac{c}{q}(p_1p_4 - p_2p_3)) = e(\frac{cC}{q}) \\ \lambda_{24} &= e(-\frac{cC}{q}) = \lambda_{13}^{-1} \\ \lambda_{12} &= e(\beta^2)e(\frac{c^2(p_1^2+p_2^2)}{q}) = e(\beta^2)\lambda_{34}^{c^2} \\ \lambda_{34} &= e(\frac{p_1^2+p_2^2}{q}) \\ \lambda_{11} &= e(\frac{c^2(p_1p_3+p_2p_4)}{q}) = \lambda_{44}^{c^2} \\ \lambda_{22} &= \lambda_{44}^{-c^2} \\ \lambda_{33} &= \lambda_{44}^{-1} \\ \lambda_{44} &= e(\frac{p_1p_3+p_2p_4}{q}) \end{aligned}$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda'_{n_1n_2} &= e(\beta^2n_1n_2)\lambda_{13}^{n_1(c_3+a_1n_1+a_2n_2)-n_2(c_4+b_1n_1+b_2n_2)}\lambda_{34}^{c^2n_1n_2+(c_3+a_1n_1+a_2n_2)(c_4+b_1n_1+b_2n_2)} \\ &\cdot \lambda_{44}^{c^2n_1(n_1+q)/2-c^2n_2(n_2+q)/2-(c_3+a_1n_1+a_2n_2)(c_3+a_1n_1+a_2n_2+q)/2+(c_4+b_1n_1+b_2n_2)(c_4+b_1n_1+b_2n_2+q)/2} \end{aligned}$$

which we write as

$$\Lambda'_{n_1n_2} = e(\beta^2n_1n_2)e(\frac{L}{2q})$$

where  $L$  is the integer

$$\begin{aligned} L &= 2cC[n_1(c_3 + a_1n_1 + a_2n_2) - n_2(c_4 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2)] \\ &\quad + 2(p_1^2 + p_2^2)[c^2n_1n_2 + (c_3 + a_1n_1 + a_2n_2)(c_4 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2)] \\ &\quad + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)\left[c^2n_1(n_1 + q) - c^2n_2(n_2 + q) - (c_3 + a_1n_1 + a_2n_2)(c_3 + a_1n_1 + a_2n_2 + q) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (c_4 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2)(c_4 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + q)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us also write

$$C_{n_1n_2} = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(t+\beta n_1)^2 + \beta^2 n_2^2]} e(-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 n_1n_2) e(-\frac{1}{2}t\beta n_2) e(\frac{G}{q})$$

where  $G$  is the integer

$$\begin{aligned} G &= -t_3t_1 - t_4t_2 + t_3(d_0 + d_1n_1 + d_2n_2) + t_4(e_0 + e_1n_1 + e_2n_2) \\ &\quad + a(d_0 + d_1n_1 + d_2n_2)^2 + b(d_0 + d_1n_1 + d_2n_2)(e_0 + e_1n_1 + e_2n_2) + \gamma(e_0 + e_1n_1 + e_2n_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} = V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(t+\beta n_1)^2 + \beta^2 n_2^2]} e(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 n_1n_2) e(-\frac{1}{2}t\beta n_2) e(\frac{S}{2q}) X_2^{n_2} X_1^{n_1}$$

where  $S = 2G + L - pR$  is the integer

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & -2t_3t_1 - 2t_4t_2 + 2t_3(d_0 + d_1n_1 + d_2n_2) + 2t_4(e_0 + e_1n_1 + e_2n_2) \\
& + 2a(d_0 + d_1n_1 + d_2n_2)^2 + 2b(d_0 + d_1n_1 + d_2n_2)(e_0 + e_1n_1 + e_2n_2) + 2\gamma(e_0 + e_1n_1 + e_2n_2)^2 \\
& + 2cC[n_1(c_3 + a_1n_1 + a_2n_2) - n_2(c_4 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2)] \\
& + 2(p_1^2 + p_2^2)[c^2n_1n_2 + (c_3 + a_1n_1 + a_2n_2)(c_4 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2)] \\
& + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)\left[c^2n_1(n_1 + q) - c^2n_2(n_2 + q) - (c_3 + a_1n_1 + a_2n_2)(c_3 + a_1n_1 + a_2n_2 + q) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (c_4 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2)(c_4 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + q)\right] \\
& - 2pc_3(b_1n_1 + b_2n_2) - 2pb_1a_2n_1n_2 - pa_2b_2n_2(n_2 - 1) - pa_1b_1n_1(n_1 - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Accumulating coefficients one writes this in the form

$$S = a'n_1^2 + b'n_2^2 + 2c'n_1n_2 + d'n_1 + e'n_2 + K$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a' = & 2ad_1^2 + 2bd_1e_1 + 2\gamma e_1^2 + 2cCa_1 + 2(p_1^2 + p_2^2)a_1b_1 + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)[c^2 - a_1^2 + b_1^2] - pa_1b_1 \\
b' = & 2ad_2^2 + 2bd_2e_2 + 2\gamma e_2^2 - 2cCb_2 + 2(p_1^2 + p_2^2)a_2b_2 + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)[-c^2 - a_2^2 + b_2^2] - pa_2b_2 \\
c' = & 2ad_1d_2 + b(d_1e_2 + d_2e_1) + 2\gamma e_1e_2 + cC(a_2 - b_1) + (p_1^2 + p_2^2)[c^2 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1] \\
& + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)(b_1b_2 - a_1a_2) - pa_2b_1 \\
d' = & 2t_3d_1 + 2t_4e_1 + 4ad_0d_1 + 2b(d_0e_1 + d_1e_0) + 4\gamma e_0e_1 + 2cCc_3 + 2(p_1^2 + p_2^2)[c_3b_1 + c_4a_1] \\
& + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)[qc^2 - (c_3a_1 + a_1(c_3 + q)) + (c_4b_1 + b_1(c_4 + q))] - 2pc_3b_1 + pa_1b_1 \\
e' = & 2t_3d_2 + 2t_4e_2 + 4ad_0d_2 + 2b(d_0e_2 + d_2e_0) + 4\gamma e_0e_2 - 2cCc_4 + 2(p_1^2 + p_2^2)[c_3b_2 + c_4a_2] \\
& + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)[-qc^2 - (c_3a_2 + a_2(c_3 + q)) + (c_4b_2 + b_2(c_4 + q))] - 2pc_3b_2 + pa_2b_2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
K = & -2t_3t_1 - 2t_4t_2 + 2t_3d_0 + 2t_4e_0 + 2ad_0^2 + 2bd_0e_0 + 2\gamma e_0^2 + 2(p_1^2 + p_2^2)c_3c_4 \\
& + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)[-c_3(c_3 + q) + c_4(c_4 + q)].
\end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$d' = 2d'_0 + d'_1, \quad e' = 2e'_0 + e'_1$$

where  $d'_0, e'_0$  consist of the terms that depend on  $t_j$ , and  $d'_1, e'_1$  consist of terms that do not. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
d'_0 = & t_3d_1 + t_4e_1 + 2ad_0d_1 + b(d_0e_1 + d_1e_0) + 2\gamma e_0e_1 + cCc_3 + (p_1^2 + p_2^2)[c_3b_1 + c_4a_1] \\
& + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)[b_1c_4 - a_1c_3] - pc_3b_1, \\
e'_0 = & t_3d_2 + t_4e_2 + 2ad_0d_2 + b(d_0e_2 + d_2e_0) + 2\gamma e_0e_2 - cCc_4 + (p_1^2 + p_2^2)[c_3b_2 + c_4a_2] \\
& + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)[b_2c_4 - c_3a_2] - pc_3b_2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d'_1 &= (p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)[qc^2 - a_1 q + b_1 q] + pa_1 b_1, \\ e'_1 &= (p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)[-qc^2 - a_2 q + b_2 q] + pa_2 b_2. \end{aligned}$$

In the following lemma it will be shown that  $c' \equiv 0 \pmod q$ , hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} &= e\left(\frac{K}{2q}\right) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(t+\beta n_1)^2 + \beta^2 n_2^2]} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 n_1 n_2\right) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}t\beta n_2\right) \\ &\quad \cdot e\left(\frac{a'}{2q}n_1^2 + \frac{b'}{2q}n_2^2 + \frac{d'}{2q}n_1 + \frac{e'}{2q}n_2\right) X_2^{n_2} X_1^{n_1} \quad (5.4) \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *One has  $a' \equiv b' \equiv c' \equiv d'_0 \equiv e'_0 \equiv 0 \pmod q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r = \frac{a'}{2q}$ ,  $s = \frac{b'}{2q}$ . We shall first prove that  $r, s$  must be half integers, so it will follow that  $a' \equiv b' \equiv 0$ . Specializing (5.4) to the case  $g = f$ , so that  $t = t_j = 0$  and  $K = c_3 = c_4 = 0$  (and noting that  $a', b'$  are the same for  $g = f$  and  $g = \pi_{\epsilon_1} f$ ), one gets

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} = \sum_{m, n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2(m^2+n^2)} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn\right) e(rm^2 + sn^2) Y_2^n Y_1^m$$

where  $Y_1 = e\left(\frac{d'}{2q}\right)X_1$  and  $Y_2 = e\left(\frac{e'}{2q}\right)X_2$  are unitaries that generate some rotation algebra (each of whose spectrum is the unit circle). Write

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} = \sum_m e(rm^2) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m^2} \rho_m Y_1^m$$

where

$$\rho_m = \sum_n e(sn^2) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 n^2} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn\right) Y_2^n.$$

Viewing  $\rho_m$  as a period 1 function of the real variable  $t$ , it can be written as

$$\rho_m(t) = \sum_n e(sn^2) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 n^2} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn\right) e(nt) = \vartheta_3\left(\pi t + \frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2 + 2s\right).$$

Since  $\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$  is a positive element, its conditional expectation  $\rho_0$  is also positive. (Here, the conditional expectation is the map taking a generic sum  $\sum_m f_m Y_1^m$  to  $f_0$ , where  $f_m$  are functions of  $Y_2$ .) Since  $\rho_0(t)$  must in particular be a real function, this forces  $s$  to be a half integer. To see this, one simply sets  $\rho_0(t)$  equal to its conjugate:

$$\sum_n e(sn^2) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 n^2} e(nt) = \sum_n e(-sn^2) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 n^2} e(-nt) = \sum_n e(-sn^2) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 n^2} e(nt).$$

Since this holds for all real  $t$ , one must have  $e(sn^2) = e(-sn^2)$  for all  $n$ . Putting  $n = 1$  one gets the result. By symmetry, one similarly deduces that  $r$  is a half integer.

Since  $d'_0 = e'_0 = 0$  trivially in the case  $g = f$ , we focus on the case  $g = \pi_{\varepsilon_1} f$  and insert  $t_j = p_j$  in the above expressions for  $d'_0, e'_0$ . Let us first prove that  $d'_0 \equiv 0 \pmod q$  where

$$\begin{aligned} d'_0 &= p_3 d_1 + p_4 e_1 + 2ad_0 d_1 + b(d_0 e_1 + d_1 e_0) + 2\gamma e_0 e_1 + c(p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) c_3 \\ &\quad + (p_1^2 + p_2^2)(c_3 b_1 + c_4 a_1) + (p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)[b_1 c_4 - a_1 c_3] - pc_3 b_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_0 = p_1 + p_2 c_3 + p_4 c_4$ ,  $e_0 = p_2 - p_1 c_3 - p_3 c_4$ , and in  $c_3, c_4$  one puts  $u_3 = s_3$ ,  $u_4 = s_4$  (see (3.5) and (5.1)). From the definitions (3.5), we have  $a_1 = -cc_3$ ,  $b_1 = -cc_4$ . Also, it is straightforward to check that  $d_1 = -cd_0$ ,  $e_1 = -ce_0$ . Putting  $n_1 = n_2 = 0$  in (3.4) and inserting into (3.2) gives us the congruences (mod  $q$ )

$$r_4 c_3 + r_2 c_4 \equiv -s_3, \quad r_3 c_3 + r_1 c_4 \equiv -s_4. \quad (5.5)$$

Using the congruence  $pc \equiv 1$ , we rewrite  $d'_0$  as

$$\begin{aligned} d'_0 &\equiv -cd_0(p_3 + 2ad_0 + be_0) - ce_0(p_4 + 2\gamma e_0 + bd_0) \\ &\quad + c(p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) c_3 - 2c(p_1^2 + p_2^2) c_3 c_4 - c(p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)(c_4^2 - c_3^2) + pcc_3 c_4, \end{aligned}$$

Using the congruences (5.5) one verifies that

$$p_3 + 2ad_0 + be_0 \equiv p_4 c_3 - p_2 c_4, \quad p_4 + 2\gamma e_0 + bd_0 \equiv p_1 c_4 - p_3 c_3. \quad (5.6)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d'_0 &\equiv -cd_0(p_4 c_3 - p_2 c_4) - ce_0(p_1 c_4 - p_3 c_3) \\ &\quad + c(p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) c_3 - 2c(p_1^2 + p_2^2) c_3 c_4 - c(p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)(c_4^2 - c_3^2) + pcc_3 c_4 \\ &= -c(p_1 + p_2 c_3 + p_4 c_4)(p_4 c_3 - p_2 c_4) - c(p_2 - p_1 c_3 - p_3 c_4)(p_1 c_4 - p_3 c_3) \\ &\quad + c(p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) c_3 - 2c(p_1^2 + p_2^2) c_3 c_4 - c(p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)(c_4^2 - c_3^2) + pcc_3 c_4 \end{aligned}$$

factoring out  $c$  and expanding this last expression one checks that all of its terms formally cancel out and give zero (after inserting  $p = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2$ ).

In a similar manner we show that  $e'_0 \equiv 0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} e'_0 &= p_3 d_2 + p_4 e_2 + 2ad_0 d_2 + b(d_0 e_2 + d_2 e_0) + 2\gamma e_0 e_2 - c(p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) c_4 \\ &\quad + (p_1^2 + p_2^2)(c_3 b_2 + c_4 a_2) + (p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)[b_2 c_4 - c_3 a_2] - pc_3 b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_3 \equiv -pa_1$ ,  $c_4 \equiv -pb_1$ , using (5.6), and  $pc \equiv 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} e'_0 &\equiv d_2(p_3 + 2ad_0 + be_0) + e_2(p_4 + 2\gamma e_0 + bd_0) + (p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) b_1 - p(p_1^2 + p_2^2)(a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) \\ &\quad - p(p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)(b_1 b_2 - a_1 a_2) + p^2 a_1 b_2 \\ &\equiv -p(cp_3 + p_2 a_2 + p_4 b_2)(p_4 a_1 - p_2 b_1) - p(cp_4 - p_1 a_2 - p_3 b_2)(p_1 b_1 - p_3 a_1) \\ &\quad + (p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) b_1 - p(p_1^2 + p_2^2)(a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) - p(p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)(b_1 b_2 - a_1 a_2) + p^2 a_1 b_2 \\ &\equiv -p_3(p_4 a_1 - p_2 b_1) - p(p_2 a_2 + p_4 b_2)(p_4 a_1 - p_2 b_1) - p_4(p_1 b_1 - p_3 a_1) \\ &\quad + p(p_1 a_2 + p_3 b_2)(p_1 b_1 - p_3 a_1) + (p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) b_1 - p(p_1^2 + p_2^2)(a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) \\ &\quad - p(p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)(b_1 b_2 - a_1 a_2) + p^2 a_1 b_2 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} e'_0 &\equiv -p(p_2 a_2 + p_4 b_2)(p_4 a_1 - p_2 b_1) + p(p_1 a_2 + p_3 b_2)(p_1 b_1 - p_3 a_1) - p(p_1^2 + p_2^2)(a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) \\ &\quad - p(p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4)(b_1 b_2 - a_1 a_2) + p^2 a_1 b_2 \end{aligned}$$

factoring out  $p$  and expanding this last expression one checks that all of its terms formally cancel out and give zero.

Now we prove that  $c' \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} c' &= Q + c(p_1p_4 - p_2p_3)(a_2 - b_1) + (p_1^2 + p_2^2)[c^2 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1] \\ &\quad + (p_1p_3 + p_2p_4)(b_1b_2 - a_1a_2) - pa_2b_1 \end{aligned}$$

where, using (5.6) and freely inserting  $pc \equiv 1$  when needed,

$$\begin{aligned} Q &:= 2ad_1d_2 + b(d_1e_2 + d_2e_1) + 2\gamma e_1e_2 \\ &= d_2(2ad_1 + be_1) + e_2(2\gamma e_1 + bd_1) \\ &= -cd_2(2ad_0 + be_0) - ce_2(2\gamma e_0 + bd_0) \\ &\equiv -cd_2(-p_3 + p_4c_3 - p_2c_4) - ce_2(-p_4 + p_1c_4 - p_3c_3) \\ &= d_2(cp_3 + p_4a_1 - p_2b_1) + e_2(cp_4 + p_1b_1 - p_3a_1) \end{aligned}$$

inserting the values for  $d_2, e_2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} &= (cp_3 + p_2a_2 + p_4b_2)cp_3 + (cp_4 - p_1a_2 - p_3b_2)cp_4 \\ &\quad + (cp_3 + p_2a_2 + p_4b_2)(p_4a_1 - p_2b_1) + (cp_4 - p_1a_2 - p_3b_2)(p_1b_1 - p_3a_1) \\ &= (cp_3 + p_2a_2 + p_4b_2)cp_3 + (cp_4 - p_1a_2 - p_3b_2)cp_4 + cp_3(p_4a_1 - p_2b_1) + cp_4(p_1b_1 - p_3a_1) \\ &\quad + (p_2a_2 + p_4b_2)(p_4a_1 - p_2b_1) + (-p_1a_2 - p_3b_2)(p_1b_1 - p_3a_1) \\ &= c^2p_3^2 + c^2p_4^2 + cp_2p_3a_2 - cp_1p_4a_2 - cp_2p_3b_1 + cp_1p_4b_1 \\ &\quad + (p_2a_2 + p_4b_2)(p_4a_1 - p_2b_1) - (p_1a_2 + p_3b_2)(p_1b_1 - p_3a_1) \end{aligned}$$

after expanding and making the several cancellations we get

$$c' \equiv c^2p + p(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1).$$

Substituting the values for  $a_j, b_j$  (see (3.5)) gives

$$\begin{aligned} c' &\equiv c^2p + c^2p(\Delta')^2[(r_1s_3 - r_2s_4)(r_4s_2 - r_3s_1) - (r_1s_1 - r_2s_2)(r_4s_4 - r_3s_3)] \\ &= c^2p - c^2p(\Delta')^2(r_1r_4 - r_2r_3)(s_1s_4 - s_2s_3) \\ &= c^2p - c^2p(\Delta')^2\Delta^2 \\ &\equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

since (from Section 3)  $\Delta = r_1r_4 - r_2r_3 = s_1s_4 - s_2s_3$  and  $\Delta'\Delta \equiv 1$ .  $\square$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, and writing  $a' = qa'', b' = qb''$  for some integers  $a'', b''$  (which are independent of  $t_j$ , as  $a', b'$  are independent), one gets from (5.4) (for  $g = f$  and  $g = \pi_{\varepsilon_1} f$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} &= e\left(\frac{K}{2q}\right) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(t+\beta n_1)^2 + \beta^2 n_2^2]} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 n_1 n_2\right) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}t\beta n_2\right) \\ &\quad \cdot e\left(\frac{a''}{2}n_1^2 + \frac{b''}{2}n_2^2 + \frac{d'_1}{2q}n_1 + \frac{e'_1}{2q}n_2\right) X_2^{n_2} X_1^{n_1} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e(\frac{a''}{2}) = \pm 1 = e(\frac{b''}{2})$  one can write  $e(\frac{a''}{2}n_1^2) = e(\frac{a''}{2}n_1)$  so that

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{D^\perp} = e\left(\frac{K}{2q}\right) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} \sum_{m, n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(t+\beta m)^2 + \beta^2 n^2]} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn\right) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}t\beta n\right) W_2^n W_1^m$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= e\left(\frac{a''}{2} + \frac{d'_1}{2q}\right) X_1 = e\left(\frac{a''}{2} + \frac{d'_1}{2q}\right) V_4^{b_1} V_3^{a_1} V_1, \\ W_2 &= e\left(\frac{b''}{2} + \frac{e'_1}{2q}\right) X_2 = e\left(\frac{b''}{2} + \frac{e'_1}{2q}\right) V_4^{b_2} V_3^{a_2} V_2, \end{aligned}$$

are unitaries that do not depend on  $t_j$ , but which generate some rotation algebra (each of whose spectrum is the unit circle). Specializing this to the cases  $g = f$  and  $g = \pi_{\varepsilon_1} f = \mu_0^{-1/2} U_1 f$  one gets

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} = \sum_{m, n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2(m^2 + n^2)} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn\right) W_2^n W_1^m \quad (5.7)$$

and (recalling that  $\beta = \frac{1}{q\alpha}$ )

$$\langle f, U_1 f \rangle_{D^\perp} = \mu_0^{1/2} e\left(\frac{K}{2q}\right) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} \sum_{m, n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(\alpha + \beta m)^2 + \beta^2 n^2]} e\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn\right) e\left(-\frac{n}{2q}\right) W_2^n W_1^m \quad (5.8)$$

where in the latter case one has  $t_j = p_j$  and, by (3.5),

$$c_3 = \Delta'(-r_1 s_3 + r_2 s_4), \quad c_4 = \Delta'(-r_4 s_4 + r_3 s_3),$$

since, from (5.1),  $u_3 = p_3 + 2ap_1 + bp_2 = s_3$  and  $u_4 = p_4 + 2\gamma p_2 + bp_1 = s_4$ .

### §6. INVERTIBILITY OF $\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$ .

From (5.7) we obtained

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} = \sum_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2(m^2+n^2)} e(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn) W_2^n W_1^m$$

and our objective in this section is to show that (because  $\beta^2 > 1$ ) this positive element is always invertible in the rotation algebra generated by  $W_1, W_2$ —in fact one can easily check that  $W_1 W_2 = e(\beta^2) W_2 W_1$ . See Theorem 6.4 below. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1 we write

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} = \sum_m e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m^2} \rho_m W_1^m$$

where

$$\rho_m = \sum_n e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 n^2} e(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn) W_2^n.$$

Viewing  $\rho_m$  as a period 1 function on  $\mathbb{R}$  (as  $W_2$  has spectrum the unit circle), it can be written as

$$\rho_m(t) = \sum_n e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 n^2} e(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn) e(nt) = \vartheta_3(\pi t + \frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2).$$

We have  $\rho_0(t) = \vartheta_3(\pi t, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2)$  and it is well-known from Theta function theory that for all real  $t$

$$\vartheta_3(0, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2) \geq \vartheta_3(\pi t, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2) \geq \vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2) > 0$$

so that  $\rho_0 > 0$ . Note that in fact  $\rho_m(t) = \rho_0(t + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 m)$  so that also each  $\rho_m$  is also strictly positive. In particular, we have the norms

$$\|\rho_m\| = \|\rho_0\| = \vartheta_3(0, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2), \quad \|\rho_m^{-1}\| = \|\rho_0^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{\vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2)} \quad (6.1)$$

for all  $m$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *If  $f(t) = \vartheta_3(\pi t + 2\pi b m, i b)$  and  $g(t) = \vartheta_3(\pi t, i b)$ , where  $b > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then*

$$\|f - g\| \leq 8\pi|m|(b - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k e^{-\pi b k^2}.$$

*Proof.* By definition of  $\vartheta_3$  we have

$$f(t) - g(t) = \sum_k e^{-\pi b k^2} e^{2\pi i t k} [e(2b m k) - 1].$$

Since  $e(2b m k) = e(2(b - \frac{1}{2}) m k)$  and  $|e(x) - 1| \leq 2\pi|x|$  for all  $x$ , one gets

$$|f(t) - g(t)| \leq \sum_k e^{-\pi b k^2} |e(2(b - \frac{1}{2}) m k) - 1| \leq 4\pi(b - \frac{1}{2})|m| \sum_k |k| e^{-\pi b k^2}$$

hence the result.  $\square$

It now follows from Lemma 6.1 that for each integer  $m$

$$\|\rho_{2m} - \rho_0\| \leq 4\pi|m|(\beta^2 - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 k^2}. \quad (6.2)$$

For any  $m$  we have

$$\|\rho_{2m+1} - \rho_0\| \leq \vartheta_3(0, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2) - \vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2) = 2\vartheta_2(0, 2i\beta^2). \quad (6.3)$$

We will use these norm bounds shortly. Consider the operator

$$R := \sum_m e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m^2} W_1^m.$$

Since, as a period 1 function of the real variable  $t$ ,  $R(t)$  is a Theta function similar to  $\rho_0$ ,  $R$  is positive and invertible (in the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $W_1$ ). One has

$$\|\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} - \rho_0 R\| = \left\| \sum_m e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m^2} (\rho_m - \rho_0) W_1^m \right\| \leq \sum_m e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m^2} \|\rho_m - \rho_0\|.$$

Now divide this sum according to the parity of  $m$  using the norm bounds above for even  $m$  and odd  $m$ . One gets

$$\sum_m e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m^2} \|\rho_m - \rho_0\| = \sum_m e^{-2\pi\beta^2 m^2} \|\rho_{2m} - \rho_0\| + \sum_m e^{-2\pi\beta^2 (m+\frac{1}{2})^2} \|\rho_{2m+1} - \rho_0\|.$$

From (6.2)

$$\sum_m e^{-2\pi\beta^2 m^2} \|\rho_{2m} - \rho_0\| \leq 8\pi(\beta^2 - 1)\Psi(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2)\Psi(2\beta^2)$$

where we have written

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k e^{-\pi x k^2}$$

for  $x > 0$ . Therefore, using (6.3) we obtain

$$\sum_m e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2 m^2} \|\rho_m - \rho_0\| \leq 8\pi(\beta^2 - 1)\Psi(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2)\Psi(2\beta^2) + 2\vartheta_2(0, 2i\beta^2)^2.$$

(Note: there is a square on the  $\vartheta_2$  here.) Now since  $\|R^{-1}\| = \|\rho_0^{-1}\| = \vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2)^{-1}$  by (6.1), one obtains

$$\|R^{-1}\rho_0^{-1}\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} - 1\| \leq \frac{8\pi(\beta^2 - 1)\Psi(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2)\Psi(2\beta^2) + 2\vartheta_2(0, 2i\beta^2)^2}{\vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2)^2}. \quad (6.4)$$

That the quantity on the right side is less than 1 will now follow from the following lemma and the fact that  $\beta > 1$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *For  $x > 1$ , one has*

$$\frac{8\pi(x-1)\Psi(\frac{1}{2}x)\Psi(2x) + 2\vartheta_2(0, 2ix)^2}{\vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}x)^2} < \frac{2\vartheta_2(0, 2i)^2}{\vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2})^2} = 1.$$

*Proof.* First note that since  $\Psi(x) = e^{-\pi x} \sum_{k \geq 1} k e^{-\pi x(k^2-1)}$  one has

$$\Psi(\frac{1}{2}x) = e^{-\pi x/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi x(k^2-1)} \leq e^{-\pi x/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi(k^2-1)} < 1.01798 e^{-\pi x/2}$$

for  $x > 1$ . Similarly,

$$\Psi(2x) = e^{-2\pi x} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k e^{-2\pi x(k^2-1)} < 1.000000014 e^{-2\pi x}$$

(seven zeros after the decimal). Hence

$$8\pi(x-1)\Psi(\frac{1}{2}x)\Psi(2x) \leq K(x-1)e^{-5\pi x/2}$$

where  $K = 8\pi(1.018)$ . Therefore it suffice to show that for all  $x > 1$

$$\mathcal{E}(x) := \frac{K(x-1)e^{-5\pi x/2} + 2\vartheta_2(0, 2ix)^2}{\vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}x)^2} < 1. \quad (6.5)$$

The function  $(x-1)e^{-5\pi x/2}$  is decreasing for  $x > x_0 = 1 + \frac{2}{5\pi} = 1.1273\dots$ . Since also  $\vartheta_2(0, 2ix)^2$  is decreasing, while  $\vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}x)$  is increasing, it follows that for  $x > x_0$  the function  $\mathcal{E}(x)$  is decreasing and hence  $\mathcal{E}(x) \leq \mathcal{E}(x_0) < 0.532 < 1$ .

So now we can restrict our attention to  $1 < x \leq 1.128$  and show that (6.5) also holds for such values. To do this we will first show that

$$h(x) := K(x-1)e^{-5\pi x/2} < \vartheta_3(0, 2ix) - (1 + \sqrt{2})\vartheta_2(0, 2ix) =: g(x) \quad (6.6)$$

for  $1 < x \leq 1.128$ . This follows by establishing three steps. First, we use the fact that  $h$  is underneath its tangent line at  $x = 1$ . Second, that this tangent line is underneath the secant line of  $g$  over the interval  $[1, 1.128]$ . Third, that  $g$  is above this secant line on  $[1, 1.128]$ . The first step is easy to check. The second step follows since  $h'(1) = 0.00993\dots$  is less than the slope of the secant line, which is  $[g(1.128) - g(1)]/0.128 = 1.412\dots$ , (both lines going through the point  $(1, 0)$ ). The fact that  $g(1) = 0$ , which is needed here, is proved in Lemma 6.3 below. The third step follows from  $g''(x) < 0$  for all  $x \geq 1$ , so that  $g$  lies above its secant line. To see this, using the definition of Theta functions one checks that

$$g''(x) = 8\pi^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( (k+1)^4 e^{-2\pi x(k+1)^2} - (1 + \sqrt{2})(k + \frac{1}{2})^4 e^{-2\pi x(k + \frac{1}{2})^2} \right).$$

To see that this is negative one notes that each term of the sum is negative for  $x \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$ —this follows from:

$$\frac{k+1}{\sqrt[4]{1+\sqrt{2}}(k+\frac{1}{2})} < \frac{k+1}{k+\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2 < e^{3\pi/8} \leq e^{\frac{\pi}{2}x(k+\frac{3}{4})} = e^{\frac{\pi}{2}x[(k+1)^2-(k+\frac{1}{2})^2]}.$$

This proves (6.6). Now as  $\vartheta_3(0, 2ix) + (\sqrt{2}-1)\vartheta_2(0, 2ix)$  is greater than 1 (since  $\vartheta_3(0, 2ix) > 1$ ), we can multiply it for free on the right side of (6.6) so that this product becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \vartheta_3(0, 2ix) - (1 + \sqrt{2})\vartheta_2(0, 2ix) \right) \left( \vartheta_3(0, 2ix) + (\sqrt{2} - 1)\vartheta_2(0, 2ix) \right) \\ &= (\vartheta_3(0, 2ix) - \vartheta_2(0, 2ix))^2 - 2\vartheta_2(0, 2ix)^2 = \vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}x)^2 - 2\vartheta_2(0, 2ix)^2 \end{aligned}$$

using the identity  $\vartheta_3(w, u) = \vartheta_3(2w, 4u) + \vartheta_2(2w, 4u)$  and the fact that  $\vartheta_2(\pi, v) = -\vartheta_2(0, v)$ . Therefore, (6.6) yields

$$h(x) < \vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}x)^2 - 2\vartheta_2(0, 2ix)^2$$

which is exactly (6.5).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.**  $\vartheta_3(0, 2i) = (1 + \sqrt{2})\vartheta_2(0, 2i)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = \vartheta_3(0, 2i)/\vartheta_2(0, 2i)$ . Since  $x > 0$ , it will suffice to show that  $x$  satisfies the equation  $(x-1)^2 = 2$ , or  $x(x-1) = x+1$ . This becomes

$$\vartheta_3(0, 2i)(\vartheta_3(0, 2i) - \vartheta_2(0, 2i)) = \vartheta_2(0, 2i)(\vartheta_3(0, 2i) + \vartheta_2(0, 2i)). \quad (6.7)$$

Using the Theta function identities

$$\vartheta_3(w, u) = \vartheta_3(2w, 4u) + \vartheta_2(2w, 4u), \quad \vartheta_4(v, u) = \vartheta_3(2v, 4u) - \vartheta_2(2v, 4u)$$

(6.7) becomes

$$\vartheta_3(0, 2i) \vartheta_4(0, \frac{i}{2}) = \vartheta_2(0, 2i) \vartheta_3(0, \frac{i}{2}). \quad (6.8)$$

Applying the following inversion formulas

$$\vartheta_4(z, t) = (-it)^{-1/2} e^{z^2/(\pi it)} \vartheta_2\left(\frac{z}{t}, -\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad \vartheta_3(z, t) = (-it)^{-1/2} e^{z^2/(\pi it)} \vartheta_3\left(\frac{z}{t}, -\frac{1}{t}\right),$$

one obtains  $\vartheta_4(0, \frac{i}{2}) = \sqrt{2}\vartheta_2(0, 2i)$  and  $\vartheta_3(0, \frac{i}{2}) = \sqrt{2}\vartheta_3(0, 2i)$ . Now it is clear that (6.8) holds.  $\square$

*Remark.* From the proof, and using  $\vartheta_3(z + \frac{\pi}{2}, u) = \vartheta_4(z, u)$ , one also has

$$\frac{\vartheta_3(0, \frac{i}{2})}{\vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2})} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\vartheta_3(0, 2i)}{\vartheta_4(0, \frac{i}{2})} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\vartheta_3(0, 2i)}{\sqrt{2}\vartheta_2(0, 2i)} = 1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

We have thus proved the following result.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $\rho > 1$  and  $U, V$  be canonical unitaries generating the rotation algebra  $A_\rho$  with  $VU = e(\rho)UV$ . Then the element*

$$\sum_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\rho(m^2+n^2)} e(\frac{1}{2}\rho mn) U^n V^m$$

*is positive and invertible in  $A_\rho$  (and invariant under the Fourier transform).*

We remark that for  $0 < \rho < 1$  the element in Theorem 6.4 cannot be invertible, for then it would mean that by using an appropriate Rieffel framework one could construct a projection whose (normalized) trace is greater than 1. For  $\rho = 1$  the element is a function in  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and one can check that it is zero when  $U$  and  $V$  are replaced by  $-1$ .

### §7. APPROXIMATE CENTRALITY.

We will now prove that the Fourier invariant projection  $e = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_D$ , where  $\xi = fb$ , whose existence is now assured by Section 6, is approximately central.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $\theta$  be any irrational number and let  $\{p/q\}$  be a sequence of positive rationals with  $q \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $q|q\theta - p| < \kappa < 1$  for some  $\kappa < 1$ . (For example, this is satisfied with  $\kappa = 1/2$  by at least one of any two consecutive convergents of  $\theta$ .) Then the associated Fourier invariant projection  $e$  (of trace  $q|q\theta - p|$ ) is approximately central.*

Before proving this let us first prove that the primitive form  $X := \langle f, f \rangle_D$  of the projection is itself approximately central. From the end of Section 4 we have

$$X = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{m,n} e(\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 q^2 mn) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha^2 q^2 (m^2+n^2)} \mu_{qm,qn} U_2^{qn} U_1^{qm}.$$

Since  $X$  is Fourier invariant, it is enough to show that  $\|U_1 X U_1^* - X\| \rightarrow 0$  (inserting  $\sigma$  inside the norm gives  $\|U_2 X U_2^* - X\| \rightarrow 0$ ). Now

$$U_1 X U_1^* - X = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{m,n} e(\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 q^2 mn) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha^2 q^2 (m^2+n^2)} \mu_{qm,qn} (\lambda^{qn} - 1) U_2^{qn} U_1^{qm}$$

hence

$$\|U_1 X U_1^* - X\| \leq \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha^2 q^2 (m^2+n^2)} |\lambda^{qn} - 1| = \frac{2}{\beta^2} \vartheta_3(0, \frac{i}{2}\alpha^2 q^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha^2 q^2 n^2} |\lambda^{qn} - 1|.$$

We have  $\lambda^{qn} = e(\alpha^2 qn)$ , and as  $|e(t) - 1| \leq 2\pi t$  for  $t \geq 0$ , one gets (since  $\beta = \frac{1}{q\alpha}$ )

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha^2 q^2 n^2} |\lambda^{qn} - 1| \leq 2\pi\alpha^2 q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha^2 q^2 n^2} = \frac{2\pi}{q\beta^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\beta^2} n^2}.$$

It is not hard to check that one has

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\beta^2} n^2} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \beta^2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi e}} \beta$$

(e.g., see Lemma A.1 of [18]). Using the inequality  $\vartheta_3(0, ix) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ , one gets (as  $\beta > 1$ )

$$\|U_1 X U_1^* - X\| \leq \frac{4\pi}{q\beta^2} (1 + \beta\sqrt{2}) \left( \frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{2}{\beta\sqrt{\pi e}} \right) < \frac{12\pi}{q}.$$

Therefore,  $X$  is approximately central. Next we need the following.

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $\theta$  be any irrational number. For any sequence of positive rationals  $\{p/q\}$  satisfying  $q|q\theta - p| < \kappa < 1$ , the norms of  $\langle f, f \rangle_D$  and  $\langle f, f \rangle_D^{-1}$  are bounded above by a constant that is independent of  $p/q$  (but which depends on  $\kappa$ ).*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_\xi : eA_{\theta e} \rightarrow C^*(D^\perp)$  ( $\mu_\xi(x) = \langle \xi, x\xi \rangle_{D^\perp}$ ) is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras, and  $\mu_\xi(\langle f, f \rangle_D) = \langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$ , it is enough to show that the norms of  $\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$  and  $\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}^{-1}$  are bounded above. From (6.4) we obtained

$$\|R^{-1} \rho_0^{-1} \langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} - 1\| \leq \mathcal{E}(\beta^2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(\beta^2) = \frac{8\pi(\beta^2 - 1)\Psi(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2)\Psi(2\beta^2) + 2\vartheta_2(0, 2i\beta^2)^2}{\vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2)^2} < 1$$

holds for any  $\beta^2 = \frac{1}{q|q\theta - p|} > 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}(\beta^2)$  is a continuous function, and since it goes to zero as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ , its maximum over the  $\beta^2$ -interval  $[\frac{1}{\kappa}, \infty)$  is some constant  $C < 1$  independent of the sequence  $p/q$  considered. Hence for all  $p/q$  such that  $q|q\theta - p| < \kappa$  one has

$$\|R^{-1}\rho_0^{-1}\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp} - 1\| \leq C \quad (7.1)$$

from which one has

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}^{-1} \rho_0 R = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - R^{-1}\rho_0^{-1}\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp})^n$$

so that

$$\|\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}^{-1}\| \leq \|\rho_0^{-1}\|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C^n = \frac{\|\rho_0^{-1}\|^2}{1 - C}$$

In addition, we have  $\|R^{-1}\| = \|\rho_0^{-1}\| = \vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\beta^2)^{-1} > 0$ , by (6.1), is also bounded above by a constant independent of  $p/q$  (in view of our hypothesis on the rationals  $p/q$ ). (Note that  $x \mapsto \vartheta_3(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{i}{2}x)$  is an increasing function over  $x \geq 1$  and bounded above by 1.) Using (7.1) one easily obtains an upper bound for  $\|\langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}\|$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 7.1.* Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . With  $X = \langle f, f \rangle_D$ , one checks by induction that

$$X^k = \langle \xi c^k, \xi \rangle_D$$

for each integer  $k \geq 1$ , where  $c := b^{-2} := \langle f, f \rangle_{D^\perp}$ . Therefore for any polynomial  $P(x)$  such that  $P(0) = 0$  one has

$$P(X) = \langle \xi P(c), \xi \rangle_D.$$

By Lemma 7.2 there is a closed interval  $[r, s]$ , where  $r > 0$ , that contains the spectrum of  $X$  (and hence also that of  $U_1 X U_1^*$ ) for all  $p/q$  such that  $q|q\theta - p| < \kappa$ . This allows one to obtain a sequence of polynomials  $\{P_n(x)\}$  such that  $P_n(0) = 0$  for each  $n$  and  $P_n(x) \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $[r, s]$ . Since  $X$  is supported on the projection  $e$ , and invertible in  $eA_\theta e$ , one gets  $\|P_n(X) - e\| = \|P_n(X) - 1(X)\| \leq \|P_n - 1\|_{[r, s]} < \epsilon$  for large enough  $n$ . Since we showed that  $\|U_1 X U_1^* - X\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ , we can find  $q$  large enough (and depending on  $n$ ) so that

$$\|P_n(U_1 X U_1^*) - P_n(X)\| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, for large  $q$  one has

$$\|U_1 e U_1^* - e\| \leq \|U_1 e U_1^* - U_1 P_n(X) U_1^*\| + \|P_n(U_1 X U_1^*) - P_n(X)\| + \|P_n(X) - e\| < 3\epsilon.$$

Since  $e$  is Fourier invariant this implies that  $\|U_2 e U_2^* - e\| < 3\epsilon$ .  $\square$

### §8. THE CUT DOWN APPROXIMATION.

We need only approximate the cut down “ $eU_1e$ ”  $:= \langle \xi, U_1\xi \rangle_{D^\perp}$  by elements of the  $q \times q$  matrix algebra generated by  $V_3, V_4$ , since by taking the Fourier transform of the result one gets the approximation for “ $eU_2e$ ” in the same matrix algebra (as  $e$  is Fourier invariant). From (5.8) we obtained

$$\langle f, U_1f \rangle_{D^\perp} = \mu_0^{1/2} e(\frac{K}{2q}) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} \sum_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(\alpha+\beta m)^2 + \beta^2 n^2]} e(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn) e(-\frac{n}{2q}) W_2^n W_1^m$$

where,

$$c_3 = \Delta'(-r_1 s_3 + r_2 s_4), \quad c_4 = \Delta'(-r_4 s_4 + r_3 s_3)$$

and  $r_j, s_j$  are given by (3.3). Write

$$\langle f, U_1f \rangle_{D^\perp} = \mu_0^{1/2} e(\frac{K}{2q}) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} B$$

where

$$B := \sum_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}[(\alpha+\beta m)^2 + \beta^2 n^2]} e(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn) e(-\frac{n}{2q}) W_2^n W_1^m$$

Since  $\xi := fb$ , we have

$$\langle \xi, U_1\xi \rangle_{D^\perp} = \mu_0^{1/2} e(\frac{K}{2q}) b V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} B b.$$

To show that  $\langle \xi, U_1\xi \rangle_{D^\perp}$  is approximately equal to  $\mu_0^{1/2} e(\frac{K}{2q}) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3}$ , which belongs to the Fourier invariant matrix algebra  $C^*(V_3, V_4)$ , it will suffice to show the following:

- 1)  $\|B - b^{-2}\| \rightarrow 0$ ,
- 2)  $\|V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} b V_3^{-c_3} V_4^{-c_4} - b\| \rightarrow 0$ ,

as  $q \rightarrow \infty$  through a sequence of rationals  $p/q$  satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 7.1—and for which the norms of  $b$  and  $b^{-1}$  are uniformly bounded, in view of Lemma 7.2.

*Proof of 1).* Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $N$  be any positive integer. We have

$$\begin{aligned} B - b^{-2} &= \sum_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2(m^2+n^2)} e(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn) \left( e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{2}{q}m)} e(-\frac{n}{2q}) - 1 \right) W_2^n W_1^m \\ &= \sum_{|m| \leq N, |n| \leq N} + \sum_{|m| > N, |n| \leq N} + \sum_{|n| > N, |m| \leq N} + \sum_{|m| > N, |n| > N}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second sum we have (as  $\beta > 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|m| > N, |n| \leq N} \right\| &\leq \sum_{|m| > N, |n| \leq N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2(m^2+n^2)} \left( e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{2}{q}m)} + 1 \right) \\ &< \sum_{|m| > N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}m^2} \left( e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{2}{q}m)} + 1 \right) \sum_{|n| \leq N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}n^2} \\ &< \vartheta_3(0, \frac{i}{2}) \sum_{|m| > N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}m^2} \left( e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{2}{q}m)} + 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly less than  $\epsilon$  for  $N > N_1$  for large enough  $N_1$  independent of  $q$  (and  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ ). This follows from the following inequality

$$\sum_{|m| > N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}m^2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{q}m} < 2 \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}k^2}$$

which holds for all  $q \geq 1$ . Similarly, for the third sum

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|n| > N, |m| \leq N} \right\| &\leq \sum_{|n| > N, |m| \leq N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2(m^2+n^2)} \left( e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{2}{q}m)} + 1 \right) \\ &< \sum_{|m| \leq N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}m^2} \left( e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{2}{q}m)} + 1 \right) \sum_{|n| > N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}n^2} \end{aligned}$$

the sum over  $m$  here is bounded by a positive number independent of  $q$  and the sum over  $n$  goes to zero, so that the preceeding norm is less than  $\epsilon$  for  $N > N_2$  for some  $N_2$  independent of  $q$ . The fourth sum similarly is less than  $\epsilon$  for  $N > N_3$  for some  $N_3$  independent of  $q$ . Letting  $N = \max(N_1, N_2, N_3)$  one has

$$\left\| \sum_{|m|, |n| \leq N} \right\| < \sum_{|m|, |n| \leq N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(m^2+n^2)} \left| e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{2}{q}m)} e(-\frac{n}{2q}) - 1 \right|$$

and this sum (now  $N$  being fixed and independent of  $q$ ) can be made less than  $\epsilon$  by taking  $q$  large enough. This proves 1).  $\square$

*Proof of 2).* First, one easily checks that

$$V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} W_j V_3^{-c_3} V_4^{-c_4} = \nu_j W_j$$

where  $\nu_j := e(\frac{p}{q}(c_3 b_j - c_4 a_j))$ . Since from (3.5) (where  $u_3 = s_3$ ,  $u_4 = s_4$ ) we have  $a_1 = -cc_3$  and  $b_1 = -cc_4$ , one has  $c_3 b_1 - c_4 a_1 = 0$  so that  $\nu_1 = 1$ . Also, as in the calculation at the end of the proof of Lemma 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 a_2 - c_3 b_2 &= c\Delta'^2(-r_4 s_4 + r_3 s_3)(r_1 s_1 - r_2 s_2) - c\Delta'^2(-r_1 s_3 + r_2 s_4)(r_4 s_2 - r_3 s_1) \\ &= c\Delta'^2\left((r_1 s_3 - r_2 s_4)(r_4 s_2 - r_3 s_1) - (r_1 s_1 - r_2 s_2)(r_4 s_4 - r_3 s_3)\right) \\ &= -c\Delta'^2(r_1 r_4 - r_2 r_3)(s_1 s_4 - s_2 s_3) \\ &= -c\Delta'^2 \Delta^2 \\ &\equiv -c \pmod{q} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\nu_2 = e(\frac{p}{q}(c_3 b_2 - c_4 a_2)) = e(\frac{pc}{q}) = e(\frac{1}{q})$ , since  $pc \equiv 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3} b^{-2} V_3^{-c_3} V_4^{-c_4} - b^{-2} = \sum_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta^2(m^2+n^2)} e(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 mn) (e(\frac{n}{q}) - 1) W_2^n W_1^m$$

hence

$$\|V_4^{c_4}V_3^{c_3}b^{-2}V_3^{-c_3}V_4^{-c_4} - b^{-2}\| \leq \sum_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(m^2+n^2)} |e(\frac{n}{q}) - 1| \leq \frac{2\pi}{q} \sum_{m,n} |n| e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(m^2+n^2)}$$

which goes to 0 as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the norms of  $b^2$  and  $b^{-2}$  are uniformly bounded by Lemma 7.2 (for rationals  $p/q$  satisfying the hypothesis therein), one has thereby proved 2).  $\square$

We thus conclude that

$$\langle \xi, U_1 \xi \rangle_{D^\perp} \approx \mu_0^{1/2} e(\frac{K}{2q}) V_4^{c_4} V_3^{c_3}.$$

for large enough  $q$ . Taking the Fourier transform ( $\sigma'$ ) of this approximation and using  $\widehat{\xi} = \xi W_0$  from Section 4, one obtains

$$W_0^* \langle \xi, U_2 \xi \rangle_{D^\perp} W_0 = \langle \xi W_0, U_2 \xi W_0 \rangle_{D^\perp} = \langle \widehat{\xi}, U_2 \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{D^\perp} = \sigma'(\langle \xi, U_1 \xi \rangle_{D^\perp}) \approx \mu_0^{1/2} e(\frac{K}{2q}) V_3^{-c_4} V_4^{c_3}$$

and thus

$$“eU_2e” := \langle \xi, U_2 \xi \rangle_{D^\perp} \approx \mu_0^{1/2} e(\frac{K}{2q}) W_0 V_3^{-c_4} V_4^{c_3} W_0^*$$

and the latter element is in the matrix algebra  $C^*(V_3, V_4) \cong M_q(\mathbb{C})$  since it contains  $W_0$ . This completes the proof of (4) of Theorem 1.1.

### §9. THE AF STRUCTURE OF $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ .

In this section we prove that for a dense  $G_\delta$  set  $\mathcal{G}$  of irrationals  $\theta$  (to be described shortly) the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is an AF-algebra. To do this we will first need to show that it is tracially AF and satisfies UCT.

**Theorem 9.1.** *For  $\theta$  in the dense  $G_\delta$  set  $\mathcal{G}$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is tracially AF. In fact, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a Fourier invariant projection  $e$  in  $A_\theta$  such that  $\tau(1 - e) < \epsilon$ ,  $e$  is approximately central, and  $eU_1e, eU_2e$  are approximately contained in a Fourier invariant  $q \times q$  matrix algebra that has  $e$  as its unit.*

Using H. Lin's Theorems 3.4 and 3.6 of [10], Theorem 9.1 yields

**Theorem 9.2.** *For  $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  has real rank zero, stable rank one, is quasidiagonal, and its  $K_0$  group is weakly unperforated.*

Combining this together with Theorem 9.4 below, which shows that  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  satisfies UCT, we can use a recent result of H. Lin's—namely that every unital separable simple nuclear tracially AF algebra that satisfies UCT is isomorphic to an AH-algebra with slow dimension growth (see Remark 4.6 of [9])—to deduce that  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is an AH-algebra with slow dimension growth (for  $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$ ). This puts the algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  into the classification class of Elliott and Gong (now that it has real rank zero and stable rank one). In earlier work [16] we showed that its  $K_1$  is zero and  $K_0 = \mathbb{Z}^9$  for  $\theta$  in another dense  $G_\delta$ . Since the crossed product is simple, unital, stably finite, has weakly unperforated  $K_0$  (for a dense  $G_\delta$ ), and has a unique trace state  $\tau$ , by a theorem of Blackadar and Handelman (see [1], 6.9.2) for two positive classes  $x, y$  in  $K_0$  one has  $x < y$  iff  $\tau(x) < \tau(y)$ . This shows that the Riesz interpolation property is automatically satisfied, and since  $K_0$  is torsion free and weakly unperforated it must be unperforated, therefore by a theorem of Effros-Handelman-Shen ([1], 7.4.1) the Elliott invariant of  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  (now just consisting of the scaled ordered group structure of  $K_0$ ) is a dimension group, and so is equal to that of an AF-algebra. Therefore, thanks to the Elliott-Gong Classification Theorem [7] one obtains:

**Theorem 9.3.** *For a dense  $G_\delta$  set of irrationals  $\theta$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is an AF-algebra.*

It only remains to prove Theorem 9.1 and show that  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  satisfies UCT. First, however, let us settle the UCT property.

**Theorem 9.4.** *For each  $\theta$  in  $(0, 1)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  satisfies UCT.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  denote the semidirect product of the discrete Heisenberg group, generated by elements  $u, v$  such that  $vu = cuv$ , where  $c$  is central, by the canonical order four automorphism (the “Fourier transform”)  $u \mapsto v \mapsto u^{-1}$ . Since  $G$  is an amenable group, we can use Tu's Theorem [14]—which says that the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  of an amenable groupoid belongs to the so-called bootstrap category ([1], 22.3.4)—to deduce that  $C^*(G)$  satisfies UCT. The algebra  $C^*(G)$  can be viewed as a continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras over its primitive spectrum ([4], 10.5.1), which is homeomorphic to the unit circle, and which we view as the closed interval  $[0, 1]$  with its endpoints identified. The evaluation map on  $C^*(G)$  at  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  gives a natural surjection onto the fiber  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ , whose kernel is the ideal  $J_\theta$  of all continuous sections vanishing at  $\theta$ . Note that if  $\theta$  is rational then  $J_\theta$  satisfies UCT since in this case the crossed product  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is of type I. By the “two out of three” theorem, it is enough to show  $J_\theta$  satisfies UCT. Consider the following two steps.

- (1) Consider the ideal  $J_I$  of all sections in  $C^*(G)$  that vanish on an open subinterval  $I$  of  $(0, 1)$  with rational endpoints. This ideal is a direct summand of the ideal of all sections that vanish at its endpoints (which satisfies UCT), hence  $J_I$  satisfies UCT (see [1], end of 23.10). If one takes a continuous section that vanishes at two rational points  $r < s$ , say, then it can be restricted to  $[r, s]$  making it zero outside this interval, and it can also be restricted to  $[0, r] \cup [s, 1]$  (with 0 and 1 identified) and making it zero on  $[r, s]$ . These are continuous sections by the local property for continuous fields ([4], 10.1.2 (iv)), and the original section is their (direct) sum.
- (2) If a continuous section  $\zeta$  is zero at  $\theta$ , then it is close in norm to a section that vanishes in some small enough open interval (with rational endpoints) that contains  $\theta$ . This can be done because the continuous sections of any continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras is closed under multiplication by scalar continuous functions ([4], 10.1.9). So if we take a scalar function  $g$  that is 1 off some small open interval containing  $\theta$ , but which is zero on a smaller subinterval containing  $\theta$ , then  $g\zeta$  is close to  $\zeta$  in norm and  $g\zeta$  is in  $J_I$  for suitable  $I$ .

By (1) and (2), it follows that given any finite number of continuous sections in  $J_\theta$ , then each is close in norm to a section that belongs to some  $J_I$  for some small enough open interval (with rational endpoints) containing  $\theta$ . Since  $J_I$  satisfies UCT, and is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $J_\theta$ , one applies Dadarlat's recent theorem [3] (Theorem 1.1) to deduce that  $J_\theta$  satisfies UCT. (In his paper, Dadarlat proves that if a nuclear separable  $C^*$ -algebra can be approximated by  $C^*$ -subalgebras satisfying UCT, then it also satisfies UCT.)  $\square$

*Remark.* The author is thankful to Chris Phillips for suggesting the idea of using Tu's Theorem and viewing  $C^*(G)$  as a continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Remark.* The same proof shows that the crossed products  $A_\theta \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $A_\theta \rtimes_{\alpha^2} \mathbb{Z}_3$ , where  $\alpha$  is the canonical order 6 automorphism given by  $\alpha(U) = V$ ,  $\alpha(V) = U^{-1}V$ , satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem for any  $\theta$ , by taking  $G$  to be the appropriate semi-direct product of the discrete Heisenberg group by the canonical action of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , respectively  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ —which is amenable.

It now remains to prove Theorem 9.1. We specify the dense  $G_\delta$  set  $\mathcal{G}$  of irrationals as follows. Pick any two sequences of positive integers  $\{M_k\}, \{N_k\}$  that go to infinity. Look at the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of all irrationals  $\theta$  whose continued fraction expansion  $[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is such that for each  $k$  the triple  $N_k, 1, M_k$  appears infinitely often in the sequence  $[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ . (Thus, for each  $k$  there are infinitely many  $n$  with  $a_n = N_k, a_{n+1} = 1, a_{n+2} = M_k$ .) We define the class  $\mathcal{G}$  to be the union of the classes  $\mathcal{F}$  over all pairs of sequences  $\{M_k\}, \{N_k\}$ .

For fixed sequences  $\{M_k\}, \{N_k\}$ , that the aforementioned set  $\mathcal{F}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  can be seen as follows. First, for any three positive integers  $a, b, c$  the set  $S$  of irrationals  $\theta$  such that the triple  $a, b, c$  appears consecutively in its continued fraction expansion  $[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ . Indeed, given such  $\theta$ , let us say  $a_n = a, a_{n+1} = b, a_{n+2} = c$ , it is clear that there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that each irrational  $\theta'$  in  $(\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon)$  has the same continued fraction coefficients as  $\theta$  up to  $a_{n+2}$ , and so in particular  $a, b, c$  occurs in the continued fraction expansion of  $\theta'$ . Hence the set  $S$  is open and dense in the irrationals, so it is a dense  $G_\delta$ . Since the countable intersection of dense  $G_\delta$ 's is again a dense  $G_\delta$ , the set of irrationals such that the triple  $a, b, c$  appears infinitely often in its continued fraction is still a dense  $G_\delta$ . This shows that the class  $\mathcal{G}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ .

*Proof of Theorem 9.1.* Fix  $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$ . From the theory of continued fractions it is well-known that each convergent  $p_n/q_n$  of  $\theta$  satisfies

$$q_n\theta - p_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\xi_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}}$$

where  $\xi_{n+1} = a_{n+1} + \frac{1}{a_{n+2} + \dots} = [a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots]$ . Write the latter as  $\xi_{n+1} = a_{n+1} + \frac{1}{a_{n+2} + \eta}$  where  $0 < \eta < 1$ . For  $n$  such that  $a_n = N_k$ ,  $a_{n+1} = 1$ ,  $a_{n+2} = M_k$ , we have

$$\beta^2 := \frac{1}{q_n|q_n\theta - p_n|} = \xi_{n+1} + \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} > \xi_{n+1} = a_{n+1} + \frac{1}{a_{n+2} + \eta} = 1 + \frac{1}{M_k + \eta} > 1 + \frac{1}{M_k + 1}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\xi_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{M_k + \eta} < 1 + \frac{1}{M_k}, \quad \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} = \frac{q_{n-1}}{a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}} = \frac{1}{a_n + \frac{q_{n-2}}{q_{n-1}}} < \frac{1}{N_k}$$

we also have

$$\beta^2 = \xi_{n+1} + \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} < 1 + \frac{1}{M_k} + \frac{1}{N_k}.$$

Therefore, for each  $k$  there are infinitely many convergents  $p/q$  ( $= p_n/q_n$ ) such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{M_k + 1} < \beta^2 = \frac{1}{q|q\theta - p|} < 1 + \frac{1}{M_k} + \frac{1}{N_k}. \quad (*)$$

The important thing we get here is that  $\beta$  can be made as close to 1 as we want (by choosing  $k$  large enough) but at the same time we can keep it away from 1 for infinitely many  $q$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , and fix an integer  $k$  such that

$$\tau(1 - e) = 1 - q|q\theta - p| < 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{M_k} + \frac{1}{N_k}} < \epsilon$$

which now holds for infinitely many convergents  $p/q$  independent of  $k$ , by (\*). The hypothesis of Theorem 7.1 is satisfied by (\*) so that the Fourier invariant projection  $e$  is approximately central. In Section 8 it was already shown that  $e$  is a point projection, in that the corner algebra  $eA_\theta e$  can be approximated by a Fourier invariant  $q \times q$  matrix subalgebra (whose unit is also  $e$ ). This proves Theorem 9.1.  $\square$

*Remark.* To prove Theorem 9.1 for all irrational  $\theta$ , one would need at least two point projections the sum of whose traces is close to 1. This seems quite conceivable to do (but this paper is long enough!). Using the ideas in this paper, if one can prove that  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is tracially AF,  $K_1 = 0$ , and  $K_0 = \mathbb{Z}^9$  for all irrational  $\theta$ , then it follows that  $A_\theta \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  is an AF-algebra for all irrational  $\theta$ . This we leave for future work.

## References

- [1] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for Operator Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 1998; 2nd Edition, MSRI publications.
- [2] F. P. Boca, *Projections in rotation algebras and theta functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **202** (1999), 325–357.
- [3] M. Dadarlat, *Some remarks on the Universal Coefficient Theorem in KK-theory*, preprint (2002), 8 pages; <http://www.math.purdue.edu/~mdd/recentpapers.html>.
- [4] J. Dixmier, *C\*-algebras*, vol. 15, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [5] G. Elliott and D. Evans, *The structure of the irrational rotation C\*-algebra*, Ann. Math. **138** (1993), 477–501.
- [6] G. Elliott and Q. Lin, *Cut-down method in the inductive limit decomposition of non-commutative tori*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **54** (1996), no. 1, 121–134.
- [7] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, *On the classification of C\*-algebras of real rank zero. II.*, Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), no. 3, 497–610.
- [8] A. Konechny and A. Schwarz, *Moduli spaces of maximally supersymmetric solutions on noncommutative tori and noncommutative orbifolds*, preprint (2000), 21 pages.
- [9] H. Lin, *Classification of simple tracially AF C\*-algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **53** (2001), no. 1, 161–194.
- [10] H. Lin, *Tracially AF C\*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 2, 693–722.
- [11] H. Lin, *Classification of simple C\*-algebras of tracial topological rank zero*, preprint (2000), 23 pages.
- [12] N. C. Phillips, *Crossed products by finite cyclic group actions with the approximate Rokhlin property*, preprint (2002), 45 pages.
- [13] M. Rieffel, *Projective modules over higher-dimensional non-commutative tori*, Canad. J. Math **40** (1988), 257–338.
- [14] J. L. Tu, *La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables*, K-theory **17** (1999), no. 3, 215–264.
- [15] S. Walters, *Chern characters of Fourier modules*, Canad. J. Math. **52** (2000), no. 3, 633–672.
- [16] S. Walters, *K-theory of non commutative spheres arising from the Fourier automorphism*, Canad. J. Math. **53** (2001), no. 3, 631–672.
- [17] S. Walters, *On Fourier orthogonal projections in the rotation algebra*, J. London Math. Soc. (2002), 15 pages (to appear); arXiv: math.OA/0012053.
- [18] S. Walters, *Fourier invariant partially approximating subalgebras of the rotation C\*-algebra*, preprint (2001), 21 pages; arXiv: math.OA/0106053.
- [19] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course in modern analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1950 (4th edition).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN BRITISH COLUMBIA, PRINCE GEORGE, B.C. V2N 4Z9 CANADA

*E-mail address:* `walters@hilbert.unbc.ca` or `walters@unbc.ca`

*Home page:* `http://hilbert.unbc.ca/walters`